ARTICLE IN PRESS

Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx–xxx

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

Effective synthesis of sulfate metabolites of chlorinated phenols

Hans-Joachim Lehmler^{a,}*, Xianran He^a, Xueshu Li^a, Michael W. Duffel ^b, Sean Parkin ^c

a Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, College of Public Health, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA ^b Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Experimental Therapeutics, College of Pharmacy, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA ^c Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA

highlights

! Chlorophenol sulfates were prepared via trichloroethyl-protected sulfate diesters.

• Ammonium salts of chlorophenol sulfates are stable for several months at -20 °C.

! Crystal structure analysis confirmed molecular structure of selected sulfate esters.

article info

Article history: Received 6 May 2013 Received in revised form 25 June 2013 Accepted 29 June 2013 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Chlorophenol Pentachlorophenol Sulfates Metabolites X-ray crystal structure

ABSTRACT

Chlorophenols are an important class of persistent environmental contaminants and have been implicated in a range of adverse health effects, including cancer. They are readily conjugated and excreted as the corresponding glucuronides and sulfates in the urine of humans and other species. Here we report the synthesis and characterization of a series of ten chlorophenol sulfates by sulfation of the corresponding chlorophenols with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl (TCE) chlorosulfate using N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) as base. Deprotection of the chlorophenol diesters with zinc powder/ammonium formate yielded the respective chlorophenol sulfate ammonium salts in good yield. The molecular structure of three TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters and one chlorophenol sulfate monoester were confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The chlorophenol sulfates were stable for several months if stored at -20 °C and, thus, are useful for future toxicological, environmental and human biomonitoring studies. $@$ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Chlorophenols are a group of ubiquitous, persistent environmental contaminants and are used in industrial applications ranging from pesticides to synthetic intermediates (Jensen, 1996; ATSDR, 1999; Olaniran and Igbinosa, 2011). For example, pentachlorophenol (PCP) has been used worldwide as pesticide, antiseptic, and wood preservative (Czaplicka, 2004; Simpson and Sefton, 2007). Although PCP's use was restricted in the United States after 1992 (Nistor and Emneus, 2003), it is still manufactured in some countries, e.g. Mexico and China (Trevino-Quintanilla et al., 2011). Chlorophenols are also inadvertently formed during anthropogenic processes, such as the combustion of organic matter, chlorination of drinking water and paper production (Czaplicka, 2004; Olaniran and Igbinosa, 2011). Furthermore, mammals can metabolize dichlorobenzenes (Hawkins et al., 1980; Hissink et al., 1997, 1996; Klos and Dekant, 1994) or other chlorinated compounds,

⇑ Corresponding author. Address: Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, The University of Iowa, UI Research Park, 221 IREH, Iowa City, IA 52242- 5000, USA. Tel.: +1 (319) 335 4310; fax: +1 (319) 335 4290.

such as lindane (Chadwick et al., 1981), to chlorophenols. Chlorophenols have been classified as group 2B carcinogens ''possibly carcinogenic to humans'' by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and can cause liver and kidney toxicities. Several chlorophenols, including PCP, are listed on the Priority Pollutant List of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA Website).

CHEMOSPHER

There is considerable evidence that sulfates and glucuronides are important biotransformation products of chlorophenols and other chlorinated environmental pollutants in many species, including fish (Layiwola et al., 1983; Oikari and Anas, 1985; Stehly and Hayton, 1989; Cravedi et al., 1999), rats (Chadwick et al., 1981; Hawkins et al., 1980; Hissink et al., 1997, 1996; Klos and Dekant, 1994; Pascal-Lorber et al., 2012) and humans (Renner and Mucke, 1986; Pekari et al., 1991; Ye et al., 2005). Particularly noteworthy is an investigation of saw-mill workers exposed to chlorophenols, which suggests that sulfation is a dominant urinary excretion pathway for low concentrations of chlorophenols (Pekari et al., 1991). In agreement with these earlier studies, Gulcan et al. recently demonstrated that PCP and other chlorophenols are substrates for human hydroxysteroid sulfotransferase hSULT2A1 (Gulcan et al., 2008). There is also evidence that structurally

E-mail address: hans-joachim-lehmler@uiowa.edu (H.-J. Lehmler).

^{0045-6535/\$ -} see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.06.087

H.-J. Lehmler et al. / Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

related phenols, such as brominated phenols (Ho et al., 2012) and certain hydroxylated polychlorinated biphenyls (Liu et al., 2006), are substrates for sulfotransferases.

Although sulfate monoesters are important metabolites of chlorophenols and other phenolic pollutants, their toxicity and occurrence in environmental and human samples are poorly investigated, partly because pure sulfate monoesters are not available from commercial sources. To overcome this gap in our knowledge, we herein report the synthesis and characterization of a series of ten chlorophenol sulfate monoesters. These well characterized and highly pure compounds are now available for toxicological, environmental and human biomonitoring studies.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General

All chlorophenol sulfate monoesters were characterized by ${}^{1}H$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), 13 C NMR and mass spectrometry. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 400 Digital NMR spectrometer. All 1 H and 13 C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) was carried out using an Agilent 6890N GC with an Agilent 5975inert detector. Accurate mass determinations of all chlorophenol sulfates were obtained from the High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Facility of the University of California, Riverside (CA, USA). Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab (Atlanta, GA, USA). Ultraviolet–visible (UV/Vis) spectra were measured using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 650 UV/Vis spectrometer at 23 °C. Melting points (mp) were determined using a MelTemp apparatus and are uncorrected. The chlorophenols 1a-i were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fairlawn, NJ, USA) or Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used without further purification. 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl chlorosulfate was synthesized according to a published procedure (Hedayatu et al., 1971). Sulfuric acid, 4-bromo-2-chloro-phenyl ester 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2j) was obtained as described previously (Li et al., 2010d).

2.2. General procedure for the synthesis of trichloroethyl esters $2a-i$

A solution of chlorosulfuric acid 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (1.0 equiv) in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) (10 mL) was added drop wise over a period of 15 min to a solution of phenol 1a-i (500 mg), triethylamine (1.2 equiv) and DMAP (1.0 equiv) in dry THF (20 mL) (Liu et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010d). The solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, ethyl acetate (100 mL) was added and the solution washed with H₂O (40 mL), 1.0 N HCl (2 \times 40 mL), H₂O (40 mL) and saturated brine (40 mL). The organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane–ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent to yield the trichloroethyl esters 2a-i in 43–83% yield.

2.2.1. Sulfuric acid 2-chlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2a)

Yield: 42%; white solid; mp: 32-33 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): d/ppm 4.93 (s, 2H, CH2), 7.27–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.54 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ/ppm 80.8, 92.4, 123.1, 126.8, 128.5, 129.0, 131.4, 146.1. EI–MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 338 $(9, C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S^*)$, 141 (12), 128 (100), 99 (76), 73 (25), 63 (19). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S$: C 28.26, H 1.78, S 9.43. Found: C 28.19, H 1.65, S 9.18.

2.2.2. Sulfuric acid 3-chlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2b)

Yield: 87%; white solid; mp: 31-32 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 4.82 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.25–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.39 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ/ppm 80.7, 92.4, 119.6, 122.1, 128.5, 131.1, 135.7, 150.3. EI–MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 338 $(21, C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S^{-4}), 267 (15), 221 (10), 208 (21), 141 (16), 128$ (100), 99 (53), 73 (11), 63 (22). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S$: C 28.26, H 1.78, S 9.43. Found: C 28.00, H 1.72, S 9.29.

2.2.3. Sulfuric acid 4-chlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2c) (Liu et al., 2004)

Yield: 67%; white solid; mp: 28-29 °C (lit. mp: 27-28 °C (Liu et al., 2004)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 4.81 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.28 (dd, 2H, AA'XX' system), 7.39 (dd, 2H, AA'XX' system). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ/ppm 80.7, 92.4, 122.8, 130.5, 133.9, 148.6. EI-MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 338 (6, $C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S^+$), 208 (13), 128 (100), 99 (57), 73 (18), 63 (16). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_6Cl_4O_4S$: C 28.26, H 1.78, S 9.43. Found: C 27.96, H 1.66, S 9.14.

2.2.4. Sulfuric acid 2,4-dichlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2d)

Yield: 73%; white solid; mp: 55-57 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 4.92 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.29 (dd, 1H, J = 2. Hz, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.47 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.59 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ/ppm 81.0, 92.3, 124.0, 127.9, 128.7, 131.1, 132.0, 144.7. EI-MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 372 (10, $C_8H_5Cl_5O_4S^+$), 162 (100), 133 (67), 63 (22). Anal. Calcd. for C₈H₅Cl₅O₄S: C 25.66, H 1.35, S 8.56. Found: C 25.93, H 1.32, S 8.38.

2.2.5. Sulfuric acid 2,5-dichlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2e)

Yield: 79%; white solid; mp: 36-38 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 4.93 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.27 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.42 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.55 (d, 1H, $J = 2.0$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ/ppm 81.0, 92.3, 123.7, 125.5, 129.3, 131.8, 133.8, 146.1. EI–MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 372 (10, $C_8H_5Cl_5O_4S^*$), 162 (100), 133 (67), 95 (12), 73 (14), 63 (22). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_5Cl_5O_4S$: C 25.66, H 1.35, S 8.56. Found: C 25.81, H 1.23, S 8.57.

2.2.6. Sulfuric acid 2,6-dichlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2f)

Yield: 83%; colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 5.03 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.26 (pseudo t, 1H, J ~ 8.4 Hz), 7.40 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 81.0, 92.5, 129.1, 129.8, 143.7. EI-MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 372 (12, $C_8H_5Cl_5O_4S^{-1}$), 162 (100), 133 (96), 97 (14). 73 (19), 63 (28). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_5Cl_5O_4S$: C 25.66, H 1.35, S 8.56. Found: C 25.66, H 1.23, S 8.31.

2.2.7. Sulfuric acid 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2g) Yield: 56%; white solid; mp: 81-82 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): d/ppm 5.01 (s, 2H, CH2), 7.42 (s, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d/ppm 81.2, 92.4, 129.7, 130.5, 134.3, 142.6. EI–MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 406 (12, C₈H₄Cl₆O₄S⁺⁺), 196 (100), 167 (54), 133 (22), 97 (53), 62 (19). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_4Cl_6O_4S$: C 23.50, H 0.99, S 7.84. Found: C 23.70, H 0.84, S 7.90.

2.2.8. Sulfuric acid 2,4,5-trichlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2h) Yield: 72%; colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 4.93 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): d/ppm 81.1, 92.2, 124.8, 126.1, 132.0, 132.4, 133.0, 144.4. EI–MS m/z (relative intensity, %): 406 (10, $C_8H_4Cl_6O_4S^*$), 198 (100), 167 (58), 131 (27), 97 (35), 61 (18). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_4Cl_6O_4S$: C 23.50, H 0.99, S 7.84. Found: C 23.26, H 0.83, S 7.89.

2.2.9. Sulfuric acid 2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorophenyl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester (2i)

Yield: 43%; white solid; mp: 138-139 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ /ppm 5.03 (s, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃):

2.3. General procedure for the synthesis of chlorophenol sulfates 3a-j

Ammonium formate (0.77 g, 12 mmol) was added to a solution of the TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2a-j (2 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) (Liu et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010d). Zinc dust (0.26 g, 4 mmol) was added after the ammonium formate had dissolved completely and the reaction mixture was stirred until the TCE ester 2a-j was completely consumed, as determined by thin layer chromatography. The solution was filtered through Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure at temperatures below 35 $°C$ to minimize the decomposition of 3a-j. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of dichloromethane, methanol and ammonium hydroxide (15:3:0.5, v/v) as eluent. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at temperatures below 35 °C to yield **3a-j**. The R_f values of all chlorophenol sulfate monoesters **3a-j** were approximately $R_f = 0.3$ $(CH_2Cl_2:CH_3OH:NH_4OH = 15:3:0.3, v/v/v).$

2.3.1. 2-Chlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3a)

Yield: 78%; white solid; mp: 122 °C (dec.); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.11–7.15 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.60-7.62 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ/ppm 124.0, 126.8, 127.8, 128.7, 131.3, 150.1. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3a, max}$ (MeOH) = 270 nm, ε_{3a} = 0.94 \times 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2a,max}$ (MeOH) = 280 nm, ε_{2e} = 0.21 \times 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). HRMS (ESI, negative): [M-NH₄]⁻ found m/z 206.9528, calculated for $C_6H_4ClO_4S$ 206.9524.

2.3.2. 3-Chlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3b)

Yield: 73 %; white solid; mp: 178 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.15–7.23 (m, 2H). 7.29–7.36 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 121.0, 122.8, 125.9, 131.3, 135.4, 155.0.

UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3b,\text{max}}(\text{MeOH}) = 270 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{3b} = 1.32 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $(\lambda_{2b,\text{max}}(\text{MeOH}) = 280 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{2b} = 0.20 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).$ HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-NH_4]^-$ found m/z 206.9529, calculated for $C_6H_4ClO_4S$ 206.9524.

2.3.3. 4-Chlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3c) (Liu et al., 2004)

Yield: 94%; white solid; mp: 95 °C (dec.); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.26–7.34 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 124.2, 130.3, 131.2, 152.7. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3c,max}$ (MeOH) = 270 nm, $\varepsilon_{3c} = 1.16 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2c,max}$ (MeOH) = 280 nm, ε_{2c} = 0.17 × 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). HRMS (ESI, negative): [M-NH₄]⁻ found m/z 206.9531, calculated for $C_6H_4ClO_4S$ 206.9524.

2.3.4. 2,4-Dichlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3d)

Yield: 97%; white solid; mp: 175 °C (dec.); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.29 (dd, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.47 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 7.58 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 125.0, 128.79, 128.84, 130.9, 131.2, 149.2. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3d,max}$ $(MeOH) = 270$ nm, $\varepsilon_{3d} = 1.01 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2d, max}(MeOH)$) = 290 nm, ε_{2d} = 0.24 \times 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-MH_4]^-$ found m/z 240.9128, calculated for $C_6H_3Cl_2O_4S$ 240.9135.

2.3.5. 2,5-Dichlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3e)

Yield: 69%; white solid; mp: 110 °C (dec.); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.13 (dd, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.38 (d, 1H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 7.66 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): d/ppm 123.8, 126.3, 126.4, 132.1, 133.7, 150.8. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3e, max}(MeOH) = 270$ nm, $\varepsilon_{3e} = 0.99 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2e, max}$ (MeOH) = 280 nm, $\varepsilon_{2e} = 0.39 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-NH_4]^-$ found m/z 240.9135, calculated for C₆H₃Cl₂O₄S 240.9135.

2.3.6. 2,6-Dichlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3f)

Yield: 81%; white solid; mp: 160 °C (dec.); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 6.80 (pseudo t, 1H, J ~ 8.0 Hz), 7.24–7.27 (m, 2H).

Table 1

X-ray crystallographic data for TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2g, 2i and 2j and chlorophenol sulfate monoesters 3f.

$C_8H_4Cl_6O_4S$ $C_8H_2Cl_8O_4S$ $C_8H_5BrCl_4O_4S$ $C_6H_7Cl_2NO_4S$ Formula 477.76 M 408.87 418.89 260.09	
T/K 90.0(2) 90.0(2) 90.0(2) 90.0(2)	
Wavelength (Å) 0.71073 0.71073 0.71073 0.71073	
Monoclinic, $P21/c$ Monoclinic, P ₂₁ Orthorhombic, P b c a Monoclinic, P21/c Space group	
$a(\AA)$ 8.2269(4) 6.3617(1) 12.7893 (2) 10.8336(3)	
$b(\AA)$ 11.1533(6) 9.3856(3) 7.2384(2) 11,2063(2)	
$c(\AA)$ 15.7373 (9) 10.8794 (3) 22.5651(5) 13.5811(4)	
α (°) 90.000 90.000 90.000 90.000	
β (°) 94.9092 (10) 90.000 106.7814 (11) 99.777 (2)	
γ (°) 90.000 90.000 90.000 90.000	
$V(\AA^3)$ 1423.04 (13) 772.76(3) 2708.61 (11) 1019.65(5)	
Z 2 8 4 4	
Calculated density ($mg \, m^{-3}$) 1.908 2.053 2.054 1.694	
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1}) 1.356 1.599 0.828 3.978	
808 468 F(000) 1632 528	
$0.40 \times 0.22 \times 0.02$ $0.11 \times 0.06 \times 0.03$ $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.08$ Crystal size (mm) $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.13$	
$2.25 - 27.44$ 1.88-27.47 1.80-27.49 1.96-27.49 θ range (\circ)	
$-10 \le h \le 10$ $-8 \le h \le 8$ $-16 \le h \le 16$ $-13 \le h \le 14$	
Limiting indices $-9 \leq k \leq 9$ $-14 \le k \le 14$ $-14 \le k \le 14$ $-12 \le k \le 12$	
$-20 \le l \le 20$ $-14 \le l \le 14$ $-29 \le l \le 29$ $-17 \le l \le 17$	
Reflections collected/unique 29859/3111 6648/2336 18319/3240 18363/3539	
R (int) 0.0620 0.0830 0.0700 0.0450	
Completeness to θ = 27.44 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9%	
Max. and min. transmission 0.973 and 0.613 0.954 and 0.844 0.741 and 0.436 0.900 and 0.820	
Date/restraints/parameters 3240/0/172 3539/1/190 3111/0/163 2336/0/139	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2 1.071 1.050 1.079 1.119	
Final R indices $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_1 = 0.0518$; $wR_2 = 0.1196$ $R_1 = 0.0420$; $wR_2 = 0.0915$ $R_1 = 0.0329$; $wR_2 = 0.0677$ $R_1 = 0.0350$; $wR_2 = 0.0836$	
R indices (all data) $R_1 = 0.0903$; $wR_2 = 0.1366$ $R_1 = 0.0581$; $wR_2 = 0.0996$ $R_1 = 0.0559$; $wR_2 = 0.0741$ $R_1 = 0.0449$; $wR_2 = 0.0892$	
Largest diff, peak and hol (eA^{-3}) 0.911 and -0.726 0.668 and -0.509 0.469 and -0.410 0.360 and -0.525	

4 H.-J. Lehmler et al. / Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx–xxx

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ/ppm 126.0, 128.7, 130.3, 145.8. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3f, \text{max}}(\text{MeOH}) = 270 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{3f} = 1.38 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $(\lambda_{2f, \text{max}}(\text{MeOH}) = 280 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{2f} = 0.15 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).$ HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-NH_4]^-$ found m/z 240.9138, calculated for $C_6H_3Cl_2O_4S$ 240.9135.

2.3.7. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3g)

Yield: 67%; white solid; mp: 170 °C (dec); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.46 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 129.9, 131.7, 132.6, 146.6. UV/Vis: λ_{3g,max}(MeOH)= 270 nm, $\varepsilon_{3g} = 1.06 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2g,\text{max}}$ (MeOH) = 290 nm, ε_{2g} = 0.26 \times 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). HRMS (ESI, negative): [M-NH₄]⁻ found m/z 274.8742, calculated for $C_6H_2Cl_3O_4S$ 240.8745.

2.3.8. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3h)

Yield: 88%; white solid; mp: 155 °C (dec); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD3OD): d/ppm 125.0, 127.2, 129.2, 131.95, 131.99, 149.6. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3h, max}(MeOH) = 270$ nm, $\varepsilon_{3h} = 1.47 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ $(\lambda_{2h,\text{max}}(\text{MeOH}) = 290 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{2h} = 0.11 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).$ HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-NH_4]^-$ found m/z 274.8747, calculated for C₆H₂Cl₃O₄S 274.8745.

2.3.9. 2,3,4,5,6-Pentachlorophenylsulfate, ammonium salt (3i)

Yield: 60%; white solid; mp: 220 °C (dec); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 130.9, 131.3, 132.8, 148.4. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3i,max}$ $(MeOH) = 310 \text{ nm}, \quad \varepsilon_{3i} = 0.32 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} (\lambda_{2i, \text{max}} (MeOH)) =$ 270 nm, $\varepsilon_{2i} = 1.29 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-MH_4]^-$ found m/z 342.7972, calculated for $C_6Cl_5O_4S$ 342.7965.

2.3.10. 4-Bromo-2-chloro-phenolsulfate, ammonium salt (3j)

Yield: 94%; white solid; mp: 200 $\rm ^{\circ}C$ (dec); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 7.41 (dd, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.52 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.59 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ /ppm 118.2, 125.3, 129.1, 131.8, 133.7, 149.8. UV/Vis: $\lambda_{3i,max}$ (MeOH) = 270 nm, ε_{3j} = 1.30 \times 10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ ($\lambda_{2j, max}$ (MeOH) = 270 nm, $\varepsilon_{2j} = 1.22 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). HRMS (ESI, negative): $[M-MH_4]^-$ found m/z 284.8629, calculated for $C_6H_3BrClO_4S$ 284.8629.

2.4. Single crystal structure determinations

Crystals suitable for crystal structure analysis were obtained by slow crystallization of TCE sulfate esters 2g, 2i and 2j as well as sulfate monoester 3f from methanol. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 90.0(2) K on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer as described previously (Li et al., 2010d). The crystal data and the related parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Additional crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC) as Supplementary Publications CCDC 946880-946883. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44 1223 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

Numerous sulfation reagents and conditions have been utilized for the synthesis of sulfates, including $SO₃$ -pyridine (Lee et al., 2004), SO₃-trimethylamine (Tully et al., 2004), SO₃-triethylamine₃ (Dusza et al., 1985), $SO₃$ -DMF (Young and Kiessling, 2002), sulfuric acid/N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (Mumma, 1966) and chlorosulfuric acid (Ho et al., 2012). Recent efforts

ARTICLE IN PRESS

H.-J. Lehmler et al. / Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx–xxx 5

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the ammonium salts of chlorophenol sulfate monoesters 3 via the corresponding TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2. (a) 2,2,2- Trichloroethyl chlorosulfate, DMAP, dry CH₂Cl₂, 2 h; (b) Zn powder, HCO₂NH₄, MeOH, 1 h.

Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (A) sulfuric acid, 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl ester 2,2,2 trichloroethyl ester (2g), (B) sulfuric acid, 2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorophenyl ester 2,2,2 trichloroethyl ester (2i), and (C) sulfuric acid, 4-bromo-2-chloro-phenyl ester 2,2,2 trichloroethyl ester (2j) showing the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

have focused on the introduction of the sulfate group via protected sulfate diesters (Al-Horani and Desai, 2010). In particular the TCE group has emerged as an effective protecting group in

Fig. 2. Molecular structure of 2,6-dichlorophenylsulfate ammonium salt (3f) showing the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids of 3f are drawn at the 50% probability level.

the synthesis of aryl sulfates because it can be easily removed in the final step of the synthesis (Liu et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010d). In the present study we utilized this approach for the synthesis of chlorophenol sulfate monoesters 3a-j. In short, TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2a-j were obtained in 42–87% yield by adding a solution of TCE chlorosulfate in dry THF to a solution of the respective chlorophenol, triethylamine and DMAP in dry THF at room temperature, followed by stirring for 2 h (Scheme 1). The TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2a-j were treated with zinc powder/ammonium formate in methanol to yield the ammonium salts of the corresponding chlorophenol sulfate monoesters in good yields (75–94%). The chlorophenol sulfate monoesters $3a-j$ were stable at -20 °C for several months without any detectable decomposition, but degraded within days to the corresponding phenol 1 in methanolic solution. It is noteworthy that we were able to isolate chlorophenol sulfate monoesters 3f, 3g and 3i with two chlorine substituents ortho to the sulfate group. In contrast, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) sulfate monoesters with a similar substitution pattern appeared to be unstable and readily degraded to the corresponding hydroxylated PCB (Li et al., 2010d).

3.2. Solid state molecular structure of TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2g, 2i, 2j and chlorophenol sulfate monoester 3f

The X-ray crystal structure of several TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters (2g, 2i and 2j) and chlorophenol sulfate ammonium salt (3f) (Figs. 1 and 2; Tables 1 and 2) were determined to confirm their molecular structure. These solid state structures will aid future quantitative structure activity relationship studies of the interaction of chlorophenol sulfates with cellular target molecules, such as sulfotransferases (Stehly and Hayton, 1988; Czaplicka, 2004; Gulcan et al., 2008) or plasma binding proteins (Grimm et al., 2013). The C1–O1 and O1–S1 bond lengths of the sulfate ester group are of particular interest in this context because they are thought to correlate with the stability of the sulfate monoesters (Brandao et al., 2005). The C1–O1 bond of the TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters $2i$, $2g$ and $2j$ lies essentially in the plane of the phenyl ring system (Table 2). Its lengths followed the order $2i < 2g < 2j$, which is due to the decreasing number of

6 H.-J. Lehmler et al. / Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx–xxx

electronegative substituents in the aromatic ring system. The O1– S1 bonds of the ortho-disubstituted chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2g and 2i were slightly longer compared to the ortho monosubstituted O1-S1 bond of diester 2j. These findings suggest that an increase in the number of electronegative substituents slightly weakens the O1–S1 ester bond (Brandao et al., 2005). However, further studies are needed to determine if the O1–S1 ester bond lengths of TCE-protected sulfate diesters indeed predicts environmentally and physiologically relevant differences in the stability of chlorophenol sulfates. The O–S–O bond angles of the TCE-protected chlorophenol sulfate diesters 2i, 2g and 2j are comparable. Overall, similar trends in bond length and bond angles have been observed with structurally related TCE-protected sulfate diesters of polychlorinated biphenyls (Lehmler et al., 2013; Li et al., 2010a,b,c, 2008).

The C1–O1 bond length of the chlorophenyl sulfate monoester 3f (1.387 Å) was shorter than that of TCE-protected PCB and chlorophenol sulfate diesters (1.393–1.449 Å) (Lehmler et al., 2013; Li et al., 2010a,b,c; Li et al., 2008), which is ultimately a result of the negative charge of the sulfate group. C–O bond lengths for other aromatic sulfate monosters were comparable and ranged from 1.374 to 1.409 Å (Brandao et al., 2005). The length of the S1–O1 ester bond of monoester **3f** (1.639 Å) was much longer compared to diesters $2g$, $2i$ and $2j$ (Table 2) due to the increased electron density of atom S1 in the negatively charged sulfate group. Comparable S–O bond lengths have been reported for structurally related aromatic sulfate monoesters, with S–O bond lengths ranging from 1.611 to 1.653 Å (Brandao et al., 2005). The other three S– O bond lengths of 3f were comparable (1.441–1.453 Å). The corresponding S–O bond lengths in other aromatic sulfate monoesters ranged from 1.422 to 1.451 Å (Brandao et al., 2005). In contrast, the S1-O3 and S1-O4 bond lengths of diesters 2g, 2i and 2j were shorter due to their double bond character.

4. Conclusions

Chlorophenols are an important group of persistent environmental pollutants. Although it is well established that chlorophenols are readily sulfated and subsequently excreted as sulfates with the urine in wildlife, laboratory animals, and humans, limited information is available about their toxicity and occurrence in the environment and humans. In the present study, a series of ten chlorophenol sulfates 3 was synthesized by sulfation of the corresponding chlorophenol 1 with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chlorosulfate, followed by deprotection of the TCEprotected chlorophenol sulfate diester 2 with zinc powder/ ammonium formate. The neat chlorophenol sulfates 3 can be stored at -20 °C for several months without detectable degradation and can be used for toxicological, environmental and human biomonitoring studies. A similar synthetic strategy can also be employed for the synthesis of sulfate monoesters of other phenolic environmental pollutants.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Grants ES05605, ES013661 and ES017425 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health (NIEHS/NIH). Contents of this manuscript are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIEHS/NIH.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data with NMR and mass spectra of compounds 2a-i and 3a-j can be found, in the online version, at http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.06.087.

References

- Al-Horani, R.A., Desai, U.R., 2010. Chemical sulfation of small molecules-advances and challenges. Tetrahedron 66, 2907–2918.
- ATSDR, 1999. Toxicological Profile for Chlorophenols. United States Department of
Health and Human Services. <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/ Human Services. <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/ tp107.pdf>.
- Brandao, T.A.S., Priebe, J.P., Damasceno, A.S., Bortoluzzia, A.J., Kirby, A.J., Nome, F., 2005. Bond length-reactivity correlations for sulfate monoesters. The crystal structure of potassium 4-nitrophenyl sulfate, $C_6H_4KNO_6S$. J. Mol. Struct. 734, 205–209.
- Chadwick, R.W., Copeland, M.F., Mole, M.L., Nesnow, S., Cooke, N., 1981. Comparative effect of pretreatment with phenobarbital, Aroclor-1254, and b-naphthoflavone on the metabolism of lindane. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 15, 120–136.
- Cravedi, J.P., Lafuente, A., Baradat, M., Hillenweck, A., Perdu-Durand, E., 1999. Biotransformation of pentachlorophenol, aniline and biphenyl in isolated rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) hepatocytes: comparison with in vivo metabolism. Xenobiotica 29, 499–509.
- Czaplicka, M., 2004. Sources and transformations of chlorophenols in the natural environment. Sci. Total Environ. 322, 21–39.
- Dusza, J.P., Joseph, J.P., Bernstein, S., 1985. The preparation of estradiol-17b sulfates with triethylamine-sulfur trioxide. Steroids 45, 303–315.
- Grimm, F.A., Lehmler, H.J., He, X., Robertson, L.W., Duffel, M.W., 2013. Sulfated metabolites of polychlorinated biphenyls are high-affinity ligands for the thyroid hormone transport protein transthyretin. Environ. Health Perspect. 121, 657–662.
- Gulcan, H.O., Liu, Y.G., Duffel, M.W., 2008. Pentachlorophenol and other chlorinated phenols are substrates for human hydroxysteroid sulfotransferase hSULT2A1. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 21, 1503–1508.
- Hawkins, D.R., Chasseaud, L.F., Woodhouse, R.N., Cresswell, D.G., 1980. The distribution, excretion and biotransformation of para-dichloro[C-14]benzene in rats after repeated inhalation, oral and subcutaneous doses. Xenobiotica 10, 81–95.
- Hedayatu, M., Leveque, J.C., Denivell, L., 1971. Action of sulfuryl chloride on some phenols and alcohols – alkylated and arylated phenol chlorosulfates. C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. Ser. C 273, 1444–1447.
- Hissink, A.M., Van Ommen, B., Van Bladeren, P.J., 1996. Dose-dependent kinetics and metabolism of 1,2-dichlorobenzene in rat: effect of pretreatment with phenobarbital. Xenobiotica 26, 89–105.
- Hissink, A.M., Dunnewijk, R., Van Ommen, B., Van Bladeren, P.J., 1997. Kinetics and metabolism of 1,4-dichlorobenzene in male wistar rats: no evidence for quinone metabolites. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 103, 17–33.
- Ho, K.L., Murphy, M.B., Wan, Y., Fong, B.M.W., Tam, S., Giesy, J.P., Leung, K.S.Y., Lam, M.H.W., 2012. Synthesis and characterization of bromophenol glucuronide and sulfate conjugates for their direct LC-MS/MS quantification in human urine as potential exposure markers for polybrominated diphenyl ethers. Anal. Chem. 84, 9881–9888.
- Jensen, J., 1996. Chlorophenols in the terrestrial environment. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 146, 25–51.
- Klos, C., Dekant, W., 1994. Comparative metabolism of the renal carcinogen 1,4 dichlorobenzene in rat: identification and quantitation of novel metabolites. Xenobiotica 24, 965–976.
- Layiwola, P.J., Linnecar, D.F.C., Knights, B., 1983. The biotransformation of three 14C-labeled phenolic-compounds in 12 species of fresh-water fish. Xenobiotica 13, 107–113.
- Lee, J.C., Lu, X.A., Kulkarni, S.S., Wen, Y.S., Hung, S.C., 2004. Synthesis of heparin oligosaccharides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 476–477.
- Lehmler, H.J., He, X., Duffel, M.W., Parkin, S., 2013. 3,4⁰ ,5-Trichlorobiphenyl-4-yl 2,2,2-trichloroethyl sulfate. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 69, o620.
- Li, X., Parkin, S., Duffel, M.W., Robertson, L.W., Lehmler, H.J., 2008. 4'-Chlorobiphenyl-4-yl 2,2,2-trichloro-ethyl sulfate. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 66, o2464.
- Li, X., Parkin, S., Duffel, M.W., Robertson, L.W., Lehmler, H.J., 2010a. 3',4'-Dichlorobiphenyl-4-yl 2,2,2-trichloro-ethyl sulfate. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 66, o1615–1616.
- Li, X., Parkin, S., Duffel, M.W., Robertson, L.W., Lehmler, H.J., 2010b. 4'-Chlorobiphenyl-3-yl 2,2,2-trichloro-ethyl sulfate. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 66, o2306.
- Li, X., Parkin, S., Duffel, M.W., Robertson, L.W., Lehmler, H.J., 2010c. Biphenyl-4-yl 2,2,2-trichloro-ethyl sulfate. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 66, o1073.
- Li, X., Parkin, S., Duffel, M.W., Robertson, L.W., Lehmler, H.J., 2010d. An efficient approach to sulfate metabolites of polychlorinated biphenyls. Environ. Int. 36, 843–848.
- Liu, Y., Lien, I.F.F., Ruttgaizer, S., Dove, P., Taylor, S.D., 2004. Synthesis and protection of aryl sulfates using the 2,2,2-trichloroethyl moiety. Org. Lett. 6, 209–212.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

H.-J. Lehmler et al. / Chemosphere xxx (2013) xxx–xxx 7

- Liu, Y., Apak, T.I., Lehmler, H.J., Robertson, L.W., Duffel, M.W., 2006. Hydroxylated polychlorinated biphenyls are substrates and inhibitors of human hydroxysteroid sulfotransferase SULT2A1. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 19, 1420–1425.
- Mumma, R.O., 1966. Preparation of sulfate esters. Lipids 1, 221–223.
- Nistor, C., Emneus, J., 2003. A capillary-based amperometric flow immunoassay for 2,4,6-trichlorophenol. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 375, 125–132.
- Oikari, A., Anas, E., 1985. Chlorinated phenolics and their conjugates in the bile of trout (Salmo gairdneri) exposed to contaminated waters. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 35, 802–809.
- Olaniran, A.O., Igbinosa, E.O., 2011. Chlorophenols and other related derivatives of environmental concern: properties, distribution and microbial degradation processes. Chemosphere 83, 1297–1306.
- Pascal-Lorber, S., Despoux, S., Jamin, E.L., Canlet, C., Cravedi, J.P., Laurent, F., 2012. Metabolic fate of 2,4-dichlorophenol and related plant residues in rats. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 1728–1736.
- Pekari, K., Luotamo, M., Jarvisalo, J., Lindroos, L., Aitio, A., 1991. Urinary-excretion of chlorinated phenols in saw-mill workers. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 63, 57–62.
- Renner, G., Mucke, W., 1986. Transformations of pentachlorophenol.1. Metabolism in animals and man. Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 11, 9–29.
- Simpson, R.F., Sefton, M.A., 2007. Origin and fate of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole in cork bark and wine corks. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 13, 106–116.
- Stehly, G.R., Hayton, W.L., 1988. Detection of pentachlorophenol and its glucuronide and sulfate conjugates in fish bile and exposure water. J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B 23, 355–366.
- Stehly, G.R., Hayton, W.L., 1989. Metabolism of pentachlorophenol by fish. Xenobiotica 19, 75–81.
- Trevino-Quintanilla, L.G., Freyre-Gonzalez, J.A., Guillen-Garces, R.A., Olvera, C., 2011. Molecular characterization of chloranilic acid degradation in Pseudomonas putida TQ07. J. Microbiol. 49, 974–980.
- Tully, S.E., Mabon, R., Gama, C.I., Tsai, S.M., Liu, X.W., Hsieh-Wilson, L.C., 2004. A chondroitin sulfate small molecule that stimulates neuronal growth. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 7736–7737.
- US EPA Website. <http://water.epa.gov/scitech/methods/cwa/pollutants.cfm>.
- Ye, X.Y., Zsuzsanna, K., Needham, L.L., Calafat, A.M., 2005. Quantification of urinary conjugates of bisphenol A, 2,5-dichlorophenol, and 2-hydroxy-4 methoxybenzophenone in humans by online solid phase extraction-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 383, 638–644.
- Young, T., Kiessling, L.L., 2002. A strategy for the synthesis of sulfated peptides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 41, 3449–3451.