## **[Complex magnetic order and spin chirality on the Kagomé lattices of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3364060) BaMn2.49Ru3.51O11 [and BaFe3.26Ti2.74O11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3364060)**

L. Shlyk,<sup>1,a)</sup> S. Parkin,<sup>2</sup> and L. E. De Long<sup>1</sup><br><sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0055, USA 2 *Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0055, USA*

Presented 21 January 2010; received 30 October 2009; accepted 15 January 2010; published online 21 April 2010)

Anomalies in the magnetization of single-crystal BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> at temperatures T<sub>1</sub>=183 K,  $T_2=171$  K, and  $T_3=128$  K, signal complex magnetic order induced by competing ferro- and antiferromagnetic correlations and magnetic frustration within the Kagomé (hexagonal ab-) plane. The  $T_2$ - and  $T_3$ -anomalies and unconventional transverse magnetoresistance are observed only for applied field *H* directed in the Kagomé plane, suggesting a topological Hall effect is generated by nonzero scalar chirality of spins canted out of the Kagomé plane, but is suppressed in a collinear structure induced by only modest  $H \perp c$ . In contrast, the magnetic susceptibility of an isostructural BaFe<sub>3.26</sub>Ti<sub>2.74</sub>O<sub>11</sub> single-crystal reveals magnetic transitions at T<sub>1</sub>=250 K and T<sub>2</sub>=85 K for *H* oriented both parallel and perpendicular to the c-axis. The rapid low-field increase of the magnetic moment at  $\mu_0 H \leq 1$  T, followed by nonsaturation with a near-linear increase at high fields, are typical of a canted antiferromagnet or ferrimagnet. © *2010 American Institute of Physics*. [doi[:10.1063/1.3364060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3364060)]

Frustration of antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions by crystallographic symmetry is of current interest, and is marked by highly degenerate, noncollinear magnetic ground states that break chiral symmetry. A representative frustrated system is a Kagomé lattice formed from corner-sharing triangles. The R-type ferrites  $BaM_2Ru_4O_{11}$  (M=Fe, Mn, and Co) crystallize in the hexagonal  $P6_3 / mmc$  structure<sup>2[,3](#page-2-1)</sup> in which layers of edge-sharing octahedra  $M(2)O_6$  form a Kagomé sublattice within the a-b plane. The Kagomé planes are connected along the c-axis by face-sharing  $M(1)O_6$  octahedra and trigonal bipyramids  $M(3)O_5$  (Fig. [1](#page-0-0)).

 $(Ba, Sr)M_{2\pm x}Ru_{4\mp x}O_{11}$  (M=Fe or Co) exhibit electric and magnetic properties that can be widely varied by simple chemical substitution of 3d-elements, or by varying the relative concentration of 3d-elements and 4d-Ru over a wide homogeneity range. $4,5$  $4,5$  In spite of potential magnetic frustration on the Kagomé sublattice, some of these compositions exhibit a unique coexistence of narrow-gap semiconductivity with ferrimagnetic order at unusually high critical temperatures  $T_C \sim 480$  K. These properties, together with a large anomalous Hall effect, make R-type ferrites attractive for spintronic applications.

We have found R-type ferrites of composition  $BaM_{2\pm x}T_{4\mp x}O_{11}$  (M=Fe and Mn; T=Ru and Ti) exist over a homogeneity range generated by variable occupation of the octahedral  $M(1)$  and  $M(2)$  sites by 3d (Fe, Ti, and Mn) and 4d (Ru) elements, whereas the trigonal-pyramidal M(3) sites are exclusively occupied by 3d (Fe or Mn) elements.<sup>5</sup> Here we focus on  $BaMn_{2.49}Ru_{3.51}O_{11}$  and  $BaFe_{3.26}Ti_{2.74}O_{11}$  single crystals that undergo several successive magnetic transitions.

Single crystals with maximum basal-plane width 1.5 mm and thickness around  $0.05$  mm were grown from a BaCl<sub>2</sub> flux, as described elsewhere.<sup>4</sup> Sample compositions were determined from x-ray refinements and microprobe analysis. X-ray diffraction data were collected at  $T=90.0(2)$  K on a Nonius Kappa CCD Diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. The final full-matrix, least-squares refinement converged to  $R_1=0.0375\%$ , w $R_2=0.1040\%$  with refined lattice parameters  $a=5.8370(8)$  Å,  $\hat{A}$ ,  $c=13.616(3) \hat{A}$  for BaFe<sub>3.26</sub>Ti<sub>2.74</sub>O<sub>11</sub>, and to R<sub>1</sub>=0.0143%, wR<sub>2</sub>=0.0369% [with refined lattice parameters  $a = 5.8754(8)$  Å,  $c = 13.515(3)$  Å] for Ba $Mn_{2,49}Ru_{3,51}O_{11}$ . The dc magnetic moment of oriented single crystals was measured over a temperature range 5 K  $\leq T \leq 300$  K in applied magnetic fields  $0 \leq \mu_0 H \leq 5$  T using a Quantum Design MPMS5 Magnetometer. Longitudinal and transverse magnetoresistivities,  $\rho_{xx}(T)$  and  $\rho_{xy}(H,T)$ , respec-

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Crystal structure of R-type ferrites. The  $M(1)$  (4e) sites are predominantly occupied by Ru, the M(2) (6g) sites on the Kagomé sublattice are occupied by Ru and appropriate 3d-elements, and the  $M(3)$  (2d) sites are predominantly occupied by the appropriate 3d-elements (Refs. [4](#page-2-2) and [5](#page-2-3)).

a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 859 218 6526. Electronic mail: lshlyk@gmail.com.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the ZFC magnetization  $M_{\perp}(T,H)$  of single-crystal Ba $Mn_{2.49}Ru_{3.51}O_{11}$ . Arrows designate magnetic transition temperatures. The solid line illustrates the linear dependence below  $T_3$ =128 K. The lower inset: ZFC magnetization  $M_{\parallel}(T)$  vs temperature of single-crystal BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub>. ZFC mode is determined as a mode with a small residual field of only a few oersteds after complete degaussing of the superconducting quantum interference device.

tively, were measured using the MPMS5 external device control option and a dc four-probe method with currents 5 mA $\leq$ *J* $\leq$ 20 mA directed in the a-b plane.

ZFC data for the magnetization  $M_{\parallel}(T)$  of BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> measured for *H*||c-axis (Fig. [2,](#page-1-0) inset) reveal a spontaneous magnetization that reflects ferromagnetic (FM) correlations below  $T_c$ =183 K, consistent with previous polycrystalline data<sup>3</sup> (zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and fieldcooled (FC)  $M(T, H)$  curves are identical in their shape, indicating magnetic domain formation does not complicate these data). The magnetization curves for  $H\|c$  are characteristic of a soft FM material with a coercive field  $H_{\text{cl}}$  $=400$  Oe at  $T=5$  K (Fig. [3,](#page-1-1) upper inset). Distinct magnetic anisotropy (see Figs.  $2$  and  $3$ ) indicates the c-axis is the easy direction.

The ZFC magnetization  $M_{\perp}(T,H)$  exhibits three striking anomalies for  $H \perp c$ : an abrupt increase just below  $T_1$ =183 K, a cusp at  $T_2$ =171 K, and a sharp minimum at  $T_3$ =128 K that marks a strong, linear increase of  $M_T(T,H)$ with decreasing  $T < T_3$ , as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-1-0) The sharp decrease of  $M_1(T,H)$  below  $T_2=171$  K indicates dominant

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Magnetic moment  $m_{\perp}$  vs applied field  $H \perp c$  for several temperatures *T* for single-crystal Ba $Mn_{2.49}Ru_{3.51}O_{11}$ . The lower inset shows  $\chi_{\perp}(T,H)$  at different applied fields  $\mu_{\rm o}H \perp \text{c}$ . The upper inset shows  $m_{\parallel}(T,H)$ vs applied field  $\mu_0 H \parallel c$  for several temperatures.

AFM interactions, whereas the linear increase of  $M_{\perp}(T,H)$ below  $T_3=128$  K reflects residual FM correlations. The lower inset in Fig. [3](#page-1-1) shows the susceptibility  $\chi_{\perp}(T,H)$  in fields 0.01 T $\leq \mu_0 H \leq 1$  T, which slowly broaden and shift the  $T_2$ -anomaly to lower temperatures, but rapidly make the  $T_3$ -anomaly indistinct.

The field dependences of the magnetic moment  $m_{\perp}(T,H)$  shown in Fig. [3](#page-1-1) reflect two distinct regions for *T*  $167$  K: a slow, linear increase of  $m_{\perp}$  ends at a critical field  $H^*(T)$  (whose magnitude increases with decreasing  $T$ ) at which  $dm_{\perp}/dH$  is discontinuous, and is followed by a saturated regime above  $H^*(T)$ . This behavior resembles that of simple AFM materials, where  $m(H)$  barely changes in the low-field collinear phase, then abruptly jumps into a canted structure at a spin flop transition followed by a strong linear increase of  $m(H)$  ending abruptly at FM saturation. (Here, an applied  $H \perp c$  acts in conjunction with the exchange field along the easy c-axis to create the spin flop for the spins frustrated within the a-b planes). The strong linear increase and absence of any jump in  $m_\perp(H)$  in Fig. [3](#page-1-1) suggests a canted spin arrangement (dominated by AFM correlations) is present below  $T_2 = 171$  K. The low-field, linear  $m_\perp(H)$  is replaced by nonlinear FM behavior above 171 K, confirming FM correlations dominate AFM correlations for  $T>T_2$ .

The above observations signal complex magnetic order, consistent with previous powder neutron diffraction data<sup>2</sup> for  $polycrystal line$   $BaMn<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>11</sub>$ : the M(1) sites were judged nonmagnetic, the M(3) sites ordered ferromagnetically parallel to the c-axis, and the  $M(2)$  sites ordered in a compensated triangular motif, characteristic of a frustrated lattice with AFM nearest-neighbor interactions. At *T*=100 K, the data were refined with an *in-plane*, "q=0" structure having uniform *vector chirality*  $K_V = [2/(3\sqrt{3})](S_1 \times S_2 + S_2 \times S_3 + S_3 \times S_1)$ (where  $S_i$  [i=1–3] are spins located at the vertices of a Kagomé triangular plaquette). Below 100 K, the M(2) spins cant out of the a-b plane, inducing nonzero *scalar chirality*  $K_S = S_1 \cdot (S_2 \times S_3)$ , and a continuous increase of magnetic peak intensity that culminates in an ordered moment *m* =3.3  $\mu_{\text{B}}$  at *T*=3.6 K.

The spin canting out of the Kagomé plane can generate a topological Hall effect (THE) driven by nonzero  $K_S$ .<sup>[1](#page-2-4)</sup> The Hall resistivity of ferromagnets is usually expressed as

$$
\rho_{xy} = R_0 H + 4\pi MR_s,\tag{1}
$$

where  $R<sub>o</sub>$  is the "normal" Hall coefficient resulting from the Lorentz force and  $R_s$  is the "anomalous" Hall coefficient that is dependent upon the magnetization *M* and spin-orbit coupling. $6,7$  $6,7$  The low-field Hall effect of FM materials is dominated by the anomalous term (AHE) identified by a field dependence that follows  $M(T,H)$  below  $T_c$ <sup>[6](#page-2-5)</sup>. We measured  $\rho_{xy}(H, T)$  for BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> with in-plane current  $J \perp H$ llc (easy direction), which should induce a collinear state that suppresses spin chirality and the THE. As expected,  $\rho_{xy}$  exhibits a nonlinear field dependence only for *H*  $\leq 1.0$  T where the AHE dominates (upper inset, Fig. [4](#page-2-7)). Above 1.0 T, both  $m_{\parallel}(H)$  (upper inset, Fig. [3](#page-1-1)) and  $\rho_{xy}$  exhibit a weakly linear normal behavior.

In order to minimize the normal Hall term due to the Lorentz force, we employed an unconventional configuration

<span id="page-2-7"></span>

FIG. 4. Transverse resistivity  $\rho_{xy}$  vs magnetic field  $H \perp c$  for single-crystal BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> at different temperatures. The upper inset shows  $\rho_{xy}$  vs magnetic field  $H\|c$  (easy axis) at temperature  $T=5$  K. The lower inset shows  $\rho_{xy}$  for a BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> single-crystal at  $T=174$  K and  $H \perp c$ .

with  $J \parallel H \perp c$ , where  $\rho_{xy}(T,H)$  should depend only on the AHE of the c-axis magnetization on the  $M(2)$  and  $M(3)$  sites, and the THE contribution of the nonzero  $K_S$  of the  $M(2)$ sublattice. In this geometry,  $\rho_{xy}(T,H)$  does not follow the saturation behavior of  $m(H)$  for  $T < T_2 = 171$  K (compare Figs. [3](#page-1-1) and [4](#page-2-7)), but exhibits a strong decrease with increasing field for  $|H| > H^*$ , which marks a sharp change in  $d\rho_{xy}(H)/dH$  (Fig. [4](#page-2-7)).

The unusual nonmonotonic field dependence of  $\rho_{xy}$  can be explained in terms of field suppression of  $K_S$ . At low fields the intermediate canting angle of noncollinear  $M(2)$ spins induces a relatively large  $K<sub>S</sub>$  and resulting THE; further increases of field align the  $M(2)$  spins along  $H$ , which reduces  $K_S$  and  $\rho_{xy}$ . BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> is remarkable in that the peak in  $\rho_{xy}$  approximately *triples in magnitude* between  $T = 130$  and 10 K in fields well below 1 T (Fig. [4](#page-2-7)). Moreover, for  $T > 171$  K,  $\rho_{xy}$  displays a monotonic field dependence typical of ferromagnets (lower inset, Fig. [4](#page-2-7)), implying there is *no*  $K_S$  to drive a THE for  $T_2 < T < T_1$ .

Magnetic susceptibility measurements on  $BaFe<sub>3.26</sub>Ti<sub>2.74</sub>O<sub>11</sub> single-crystal reveal two magnetic transi$ tions for applied field *H* oriented both parallel and perpendicular to the c-axis: A slow increase of  $\chi(T)$  below T<sub>1</sub> =250 K is followed by a maximum at  $T_2$ =85 K, with a distinct magnetic anisotropy that indicates the c-axis is the easy direction, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-2-8) The rapid increase of  $m(H)$  in low fields and near-linear variation without saturation at  $\mu_0 H > 1.2$  T (both above and below 85 K) are typical of a canted antiferromagnet or ferrimagnet.<sup>10</sup> Coercive fields  $\mu_0H_{c-1}$  = 1.0 T and  $\mu_0H_{c-1}$  = 0.75 T perpendicular and parallel to the c-axis, respectively, are observed at  $T=5$  K; this anisotropy is greatly reduced  $(\mu_0 H_{c\perp} = \mu_0 H_{c\parallel} = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$  T) at  $T=130$  K (inset, Fig. [5](#page-2-8)). Above 250 K, the susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  is isotropic, and  $m(H)$  varies linearly with magnetic field. A Curie–Weiss fit of  $\chi(T)$  in the temperature interval  $260 K < T < 360 K$  yields an effective magnetic moment  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ =4.73  $\mu_{\text{B}}$  and a positive Weiss temperature  $\theta_{\text{P}}$ =220 K that indicates dominant FM interactions. The basal-plane electrical resistance of BaFe<sub>3.26</sub>Ti<sub>2.74</sub>O<sub>11</sub> is R<sub>300</sub> $\sim$  20 M $\Omega$ ,

<span id="page-2-8"></span>

FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the FC dc magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$ of single-crystal BaFe<sub>3.26</sub>Ti<sub>2.74</sub>O<sub>11</sub> for  $H \perp c$  and  $H||c$  at applied magnetic field  $\mu_0H=0.1$  T. Inset shows the magnetic moment *m* vs  $\mu_0H$  at temperature  $T = 130$  K.

which demonstrates the complete replacement of Ru (4delectrons) with more localized Ti (3d-electrons) results in a strongly gapped insulator.

In summary, single crystals of  $BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub>$  and  $BaFe_{3.26}Ti_{2.74}O_{11}$  exhibit complex magnetic order driven by competing interactions on a frustrated lattice with a noncentrosymmetric structure. The striking behavior of  $BaMn_{2.49}Ru_{3.51}O_{11}$  reflects frustrated two-dimensional spin correlations for 171  $K < T < 183$  K, which favor an inplane, "q=0" structure with zero  $K_S$  on the Kagomé sublattice, consistent with neutron diffraction data<sup>3</sup> for polycrystalline BaMn<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>11</sub>. A c-axis moment grows below T<sub>2</sub> =171 K, caused by Dzyaloshinsky–Moriya interactions<sup>8,5</sup> appropriate for spins in a noncentrosymmetric structure with nonzero  $K_S$ . The slow saturation of  $m(T,H)$  with decreasing *T*, or increasing  $H \perp c$ , indicates an evolution from canted spins with AFM correlations within the Kagomé plane, to a collinear FM arrangement. The unusually large, nonmonotonic field dependence of  $\rho_{xy}$  observed in single-crystal BaMn<sub>2.49</sub>Ru<sub>3.51</sub>O<sub>11</sub> for  $J \parallel H \perp c$  also results from the fielddependent canting of the M(2) spins out of the Kagomé plane, which creates a large THE driven by nonzero scalar spin chirality that can be controlled and suppressed by only modest applied fields  $\leq 1$  T.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Research at the University of Kentucky was supported by U.S. DOE Grant No. DOE-FGØ2-97ER45653.

- <span id="page-2-4"></span><sup>1</sup>Y. Taguchi, Y. Oohara, H. Yoshizawa, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1058161) **291**, 2573 (2001).
- <span id="page-2-0"></span><sup>2</sup>M. C. Cadee and D. J. W. Ijdo, [J. Solid State Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(84)90013-6) **52**, 302 (1984).
- <span id="page-2-1"></span> ${}^{3}$ M. L. Foo, Q. Huang, J. W. Lynn, W.-L. Lee, T. Klimczuk, I. S. Hage-mann, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, [J. Solid State Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2005.11.014) **179**, 563 (2006).
- <span id="page-2-2"></span><sup>4</sup>B. Schüpp-Niewa, L. Shlyk, S. Kryukov, L. E. De Long, and R. Niewa, Z. Naturforsch. B  $62$ , 753 (2007).
- <span id="page-2-3"></span> ${}^{5}$ L. Shlyk, S. Kryukov, B. Schüpp-Niewa, R. Niewa, and L. E. De Long, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.200701951) **20**, 1315 (2008).
- <span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span><sup>6</sup>R. Karplus and J. M. Luttinger, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.95.1154)* **95**, 1154 (1954).
- <sup>7</sup>L. Berger, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.4559)* 2, 4559 (1970).
- <span id="page-2-10"></span><sup>8</sup>I. Dzyaloshinsky, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90076-3) **4**, 241 (1958).
- <sup>9</sup>T. Moriya, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.120.91)* **120**, 91 (1960).
- <span id="page-2-11"></span><span id="page-2-9"></span><sup>9</sup>T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 91 (1960).<br><sup>10</sup>R. L. Carlin, *Magnetochemistry* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).