Conformational flexibility in the apolipoprotein E amino-terminal domain structure determined from three new crystal forms: Implications for lipid binding
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Abstract

An amino-terminal fragment of human apolipoprotein E3 (residues 1–165) has been expressed and crystallized in three different crystal forms under similar crystallization conditions. One crystal form has nearly identical cell dimensions to the previously reported orthorhombic (P212121) crystal form of the amino-terminal 22 kDa fragment of apolipoprotein E (residues 1–191). A second orthorhombic crystal form (P212121, with cell dimensions differing from the first form) and a trigonal (P3121) crystal form were also characterized. The structures of the first orthorhombic and the trigonal form were determined by seleno-methionine multiwavelength anomalous dispersion, and the structure of the second orthorhombic form was determined by molecular replacement using the structure from the trigonal form as a search model. A second orthorhombic crystal form of the amino-terminal 22 kDa fragment of apolipoprotein E3 (residues 1–165) has been expressed and crystallized in three different crystal forms under similar crystallization conditions. One crystal form has nearly identical cell dimensions to the previously reported orthorhombic (P212121) crystal form of the amino-terminal 22 kDa fragment of apolipoprotein E (residues 1–191). A second orthorhombic crystal form (P212121, with cell dimensions differing from the first form) and a trigonal (P3121) crystal form were also characterized. The structures of the first orthorhombic and the trigonal form were determined by seleno-methionine multiwavelength anomalous dispersion, and the structure of the second orthorhombic form was determined by molecular replacement using the structure from the trigonal form as a search model. A combination of modern experimental and computational techniques provided high-quality electron-density maps, which revealed new features of the apolipoprotein E structure, including an unambiguously traced loop connecting helices 2 and 3 in the four-helix bundle. The electrostatic complimentarity observed in this anti-parallel packing resembles the interaction of apolipoprotein E with the monoclonal antibody 2E8 and the low density lipoprotein receptor. Superposition of the model structures from all three crystal forms reveals flexibility and pronounced kinks in helices near one end of the four-helix bundle. This mobility at one end of the molecule provides new insights into the structural changes in apolipoprotein E that occur with lipid association.

Keywords: apolipoprotein E; lipid binding; MAD phasing; X-ray crystallography

Apolipoprotein E (apoE) (Mr ~ 34,200, 299 amino acids) serves as a ligand for the low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor family, and through this interaction, plays a major role in modulating plasma lipoprotein metabolism (Mahley, 1988; Weisgraber, 1994). ApoE is polymorphic with three major isoforms (apoE2, apoE3, and apoE4) and a number of rare variants (Mahley, 1988; Rall & Mahley, 1992; Weisgraber, 1994). The major apoE isoforms differ at positions 112 and 158, where apoE3 contains cysteine and arginine, respectively, and apoE2 contains cysteine and apoE4 arginine at both positions (Weisgraber et al., 1981). This polymorphism has functional consequences. ApoE2 binds defectively to the LDL receptor, resulting in type III hyperlipoproteinemia, a lipid disorder associated with premature heart disease (Mahley & Rall, 1995). This defective binding results from the substitution of arginine by cysteine at position 158 and is mediated indirectly, through rearrangement of salt bridges (Wilson et al., 1994; Dong et al., 1996). ApoE4 is associated with increased lipid levels and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (Utermann et al., 1984; Eichner et al., 1993; Luc et al., 1994) and is also a major risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease (Corder et al., 1993; Saunders et al., 1993; Strittmatter et al., 1993) and other forms of neurodegeneration (Mayeux et al., 1995; Slooter et al., 1997; Teasdale et al., 1997). ApoE is composed of two functionally distinct domains (Aggerbeck et al., 1988; Wetterau et al., 1988). Thrombin cleavage of apoE results in a 22 kDa amino-terminal domain (residues 1–191).
and a shorter 10 kDa carboxy-terminal fragment (residues 216–299) (Innerarity et al., 1983). The 22 kDa domain contains the LDL receptor–binding region (vicinity of residues 136–150) (Mahley, 1988; Weisgraber, 1994). The 10 kDa fragment carries the major lipid-binding determinants of apoE (Weisgraber, 1990) and is responsible for the tetramerization of the intact apoE protein (Aggerbeck et al., 1988). The three-dimensional (3D) structure of the 10-kDa fragment is not known, although it has recently been crystallized (Forstner et al., 1999). Predictions of the secondary structure of this domain (Rall et al., 1982; Segrest et al., 1992) agree with circular dichroism (CD) data indicating it is mostly α-helical (Aggerbeck et al., 1988).

The crystal structure of the 22 kDa amino-terminal domain of apoE has been determined (Wilson et al., 1991). The protein forms an elongated, antiparallel four-helix bundle with a short connecting helix between helices H1 and H2. Based on this initial model, we recently refined the structures of the 22 kDa fragment of apoE3 and an apoE2 D154A mutant (Dong et al., 1996). However, neither Wilson et al. (1991) nor we were able to unambiguously trace amino-terminal residues 1–22, the connecting loop between helices H2 and H3 (residues 82–91), or the terminal 25 residues (166–191). The missing portions are located in the same region of the molecule, with weak or undefined electron density at one extreme of the helix bundle, and amount to nearly 30% of the 22 kDa fragment.

Like other soluble apolipoproteins, apoE is stable in both lipid-associated and lipid-free aqueous states. The helices in the four-helix bundle of apoE are amphipathic, classified as G+ by Segrest et al. (1994), placing them between the typical globular amphipathic helices and the helices typical of other apolipoproteins. The amphipathic nature and particular character of the apolipoprotein helices are thought to be responsible for their lipid-binding properties (Segrest et al., 1994).

It has been hypothesized that the amino-terminal four-helix bundle undergoes a significant conformational change, opening to expose the hydrophobic core to interact with lipid (Weisgraber, 1994). Molecular area measurements at an air:water interface support this model (Weisgraber, 1994). The postulated conformational change is similar to that proposed for apolipophorin III (apoLP3H), an insect apolipoprotein that exists as a five-helix bundle in the lipid-free state (Breiter et al., 1991; Wang et al., 1997). Although the major lipid-binding elements of apoE are contained in the carboxyl-terminal domain, the 22 kDa domain, the 10 kDa fragment, and the intact protein will remodel spherical vesicles of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and other phospholipids into bilayer discoidal particles (Innerarity et al., 1979, 1983). The conformation of apoE on these phospholipid discs, while of great interest, is unknown. Two models have been proposed: the “picket fence” model, in which the amphipathic helices are aligned parallel to the phospholipid acyl chains (De Pauw et al., 1995), and the “belt” model, in which the helices lie perpendicular to the acyl chains (Raussens et al., 1998).

In addition to its roles in cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, apoE has been implicated in immunoregulation (Hui et al., 1980; Avila et al., 1982), modulation of intracellular cholesterol metabolism (Reyland & Williams, 1991), and control of cell growth and migration (Ishigami et al., 1998). These diverse roles underscore the importance of apoE as a potential target for therapeutic agents and highlight the need for a better understanding of the relationship of its structure and function. In this study, in an effort to obtain a more detailed structure of the amino-terminal domain, which contains the LDL receptor–binding region, we sought to engineer a truncation mutant (1–165) to eliminate potential complications arising from the ill-defined carboxyl-terminal region of the 22 kDa fragment; to screen for different crystal forms; and to re-determine the structure from all crystal forms, taking full advantage of the newest experimental and computational techniques. We pursued multiple crystal forms because apoE is thought to undergo significant conformational changes upon binding to lipid (Weisgraber, 1994). By determining structures from multiple crystal forms, the potential existed to characterize mobility within the molecule in the lipid-free state. Three different crystal forms of the amino-terminal 165 truncation were obtained, and their structures were determined. The newly determined structures provide greater detail and higher resolution than the original 22 kDa amino-terminal structure (Wilson et al., 1991). The collection of structures from all crystal forms also provides new insight into a structural flexibility in the molecule. Comparisons of the three newly determined structures reveal common intermolecular packing interactions and kinks or hinges within the helical bundle, distinguishing two regions of the molecule.

**Results**

The structure of the apoE 165 fragment (Fig. 1) was determined by seleno-methionine (Se-Met) multiwavelength anomalous disper-

---

**Fig. 1.** ApoE3 four-helix bundle. Parallel stereo ribbon diagram, overlaid with molecular surface, of the antiparallel four-helix bundle of apoE3 in the ortho-1 crystal form. Helical segments (cyan) are labeled H1, H2, H3, and H4, with a short helix H1' connecting H1 and H2. The single β-strand (magenta) covering most of the 80s loop (connecting helices H2 and H3) is represented as a strand because the local backbone conformations fall into the β region of the Ramachandran plot (Ramachandran et al., 1963). Two intramolecular main-chain–side-chain hydrogen bonds maintain the β-like structure. The model shown covers residues 22–165 of the amino-terminal apoE3 165 fragment. Residues 1–21 are completely absent in the experimental electron density and therefore not modeled. In this view, a number of modeled multiconformation side chains are apparent near the amino-terminal end of H4. This figure was generated with MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991), SPOCK (Christopher, 1997), and RASTER3D (Merritt & Bacon, 1997).
sion (MAD) (Hendrickson & Ogata, 1997) to 1.85 and 1.7 Å resolution for the ortho-1 (Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession code 1BZ4) and trigonal (PDB accession code 1OR3) crystal forms, respectively (Table 1). The structure of the ortho-2 form was determined to 2.5 Å resolution (accession code 1OR2) by molecular replacement (MR) using the trilogn model as a probe. All three crystal forms have a single molecule per asymmetric unit.

Quality assessment

The absence of a molecular replacement solution of the trilogn form with any of the available apoE models (Wilson et al., 1991; Dong et al., 1996) was an early indication of significant structural variability necessitating de-novo phasing of the structures and thorough analysis of their quality. Since 1991, when the structure of the 22 kDa fragment of apoE3 was initially determined (Wilson et al., 1991), innovations in crystallography have enabled us to minimize experimental error and to provide more detailed models. Use of cryo-cooling techniques (Parkin & Hope, 1998) and Se-Met MAD phasing (Hendrickson & Ogata, 1997) has yielded superior experimental phases to high resolution for the ortho-1 and trigonal forms (Table 2; Fig. 2A). Application of $R_{free}$ cross-validation (Brünger, 1992a), along with maximum likelihood (ML) and phase-restrained refinements (REFMAC) (Murshudov et al., 1997) help to minimize overfitting, avoiding model bias and loss of interpretable electron density.

The $R$ value and $R_{free}$ (monitored throughout, 10% test set) were within expected ranges for the resolution (Table 1) and were highly correlated. Lower $R$ factors can be (and have been) achieved, but always at the expense of $R_{free}$ and/or correlation between $R$ and $R_{free}$. X-PLOR (Brünger, 1992b) and PROCHECK (CCP4, 1994) were used to assess the agreement root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between observed and expected bond lengths, bond angles, and the stereochemistry (Table 1). In addition, a WHATCHECK report (Vriend, 1990) for each structure was provided by the Protein Data Bank. All PROCHECK analyses were either in the expected range or better for the given resolution. All but a few modeled residues in all three crystal structures have most-favored backbone conformation, and none have disallowed conformations. Mean coordinate errors and cross-validated mean coordinate errors were estimated from Luzzati plots (Luzzati, 1953) (Table 1). The average of the individual isotropic $B$ values is high for the ortho-2 and trigonal structures and corresponds to the mean $B$ values calculated from the data (Blundell & Johnson, 1976), reflecting the inherent flexibility within the molecule.

Despite the improved resolution from 2.5 Å for the initial apoE3 22 kDa fragment structure (Wilson et al., 1991) to 1.73 Å for the trigonal structure, and despite improved crystallographic techniques, the amino-terminal 21 residues are not found in any of the crystal forms, indicating that the amino terminus is very likely not in a defined conformation. The H2–H3 connecting loop, or 80s loop, is also absent in the ortho-2 and trigonal forms. It is clearly traceable, however, in the ortho-1 structure from SOLOMON (Abrahams & Leslie, 1996) maps (Fig. 3). The residues of the 80s loop adopt a pseudo-$\beta$ structure with side-chain–main-chain hydrogen bonds stabilizing the $\beta$-conformation. It is interesting to note the similarity between the structure for the 80s loop in our ortho-1 model and the original model of the apoE3 22 kDa fragment (Wilson et al., 1991). The initial report of the structure cautioned that the electron density for the 80s loop was poorly defined and that the coordinates “may be somewhat in error.” There is in fact good

### Table 1. Native data and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ortho-1</th>
<th>Ortho-2</th>
<th>Trigonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>104.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$P2_12_1$</td>
<td>$P2_12_1$</td>
<td>$P_32_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)$^*$</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average $B$ (atomic) (Å$^2$)$^b$</td>
<td>18.1 (26.8)</td>
<td>74.6 (72.4)</td>
<td>40.3 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness ($F &gt; 2\sigma$) (%)</td>
<td>99.1 (93.4)</td>
<td>93.8 (89.5)</td>
<td>97.2 (89.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of observations</td>
<td>81,518</td>
<td>39,148</td>
<td>48,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>16,493</td>
<td>5,913</td>
<td>16,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{int}$ (%)$^c$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections/parameters$^d$</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$-factor (%)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{free}$-factor (%)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bond angle (%)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$/$\Psi$ most favored (%)</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean coordinate error (Å)$^e$</td>
<td>0.21 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Resolution given is the high-resolution cut-off for the last bin, which has $\langle I \rangle > 2\sigma$.

$^b$Blundell (1976).

$^c$$R_{int} = \Sigma_i |I_i| - \langle I \rangle |/\Sigma_i |I_i|$.

$^d$Working set only and $F > 2\sigma$.

$^e$Luzzati (1953), cross-validated values in parenthesis.
agreement for the 80s loop between the previous model and our ortho-1 model.

Discussion

Packing comparison

Comparison of the packing arrangements in three crystal forms revealed significant differences: no two crystal forms can be related by simple expansion or compression of the unit cell (Fig. 4). However, all three crystal forms share a similar, antiparallel arrangement of contacting, symmetry-related helix bundles propagating through the crystal to form antiparallel sheets of stacked bundles. In both the trigonal and ortho-1 crystal forms, the principal axes of the helix form a flat sheet, whereas in the ortho-2 crystal form, they are slightly out of plane, creating a pleated sheet.

Several questions arose from the common antiparallel packing arrangement. Is the packing a specific, persistent intermolecular interaction, an electrostatic interaction, or an entropic phenomenon? Intuitively, it might appear entropically favorable for elongated objects to line up parallel or antiparallel to each other, like matchsticks when shaken in a matchbox. However, closer examination of specific contacts within these packing arrangements revealed a similar (but not identical) electrostatic interaction (Fig. 5A) among the three crystal forms, involving the carboxyl-terminal end of helix H2 and the amino-terminal end or the middle of helix H4 of a symmetry-related molecule. In the trigonal and the ortho-2 forms, the carboxyl terminal of H2 residues 76–81 are in contact 5Å of H4 residues 143–150 of an equivalent copy. In the ortho-1 form, H2 residues 70–81 are in proximity of residues H4 136–144 of a symmetry-related molecule. Differences complicating a generalization of the packing scheme are depicted in Figure 5B. Taking one of the helix bundles from each crystal form and a symmetry-related copy of the respective contacting molecule and viewing such a packing element as a pseudodimer, we found that none of the three pseudo-dimers overlap. The pseudodimer of ortho-2 differs from that of ortho-1 by a small translational shift, while the pseudodimer of the trigonal crystal form is related to that of ortho-1 by an additional rotation of nearly 90°.

In contrast to specific differences in the details of each crystal packing, a general electrostatic interaction pattern emerges. Plotting the electrostatic isocontours at relatively low potential (Fig. 5A) reveals a longitudinal distribution of potential with a negative lobe covering helices H1, H1', and H2 and a positive lobe covering helices H3 and H4. The distribution of potential supports the arrangement of molecules such that helices H1 and H2 interact with helices H3 and H4, as depicted for the orthorhombic forms in Figure 5C. The interactions represent the juxtapositioning of a
patch of negatively charged residues (E77, E79, and E80) with positively charged residues (R136, H140, K143, K146, R147, and R150). The same potential distribution can also lead to an arrangement in which the opposing lobes are staggered (Fig. 5C).

A similar electrostatic complementarity was proposed for the interaction between apoE and the 2E8 FAB (Raffaï et al., 1995; Trakhanov et al., 1999) and between apoE and the LDL receptor (Mahley, 1988). The collection of basic residues 136–150, in fact, makes up the LDL receptor–binding region of the molecule (Mahley, 1988; Weisgraber, 1994).

**Superposition**

Comparison of the individual models by superposition reveals a fair amount of variation (1.8 Å RMSD between the ortho-1 and the trigonal forms, 1.8 Å RMSD between the ortho-2 and the ortho-1 forms, and 0.33 Å RMSD between the ortho-2 and the trigonal forms for all Cα atoms in common) (Fig. 6). In contrast, the RMSD of ortho-1 from an independently refined amino-terminal 22 kDa fragment of the same crystal form (accession code 1NFN) is only 0.22 Å on Cα, consistent with the expected mean coordinate error for independent refinements. Although overall the structures differ significantly from each other and have several multiconformation side chains (Figs. 1, 2), individually superimposed sections (similar to a sliding frame) match quite closely. The flexible molecule displays slight supertwisting or untwisting around the principal axis of the helix bundle (Fig. 6A) and a notable kinking, of both helices H2 and H3 (Fig. 6B).

If the trigonal and ortho-1 models are compared (the ortho-2 form is essentially identical to the trigonal form for this purpose), the lower portions of the molecules (Fig. 6B) superimpose significantly better than the top section. The previously reported kink at Gly106 (Wilson et al., 1991) in helix H3 is most obvious in this comparison, and helix H2 also has a pronounced kink in the trigonal structure (Fig. 6C). In fact, the upper part of the molecule (the 80s loop proximal end) can be viewed as a structural unit separate from the lower portion. The lower portion is a nearly classic, full four-helix bundle, matching the approximate size and compactness of four-helix bundles from other globular proteins (Harris et al., 1994). This part of the molecule has relatively low observed B values.
as well. The upper portion is no longer a complete four-helix bundle, as the H1 helix terminates, leaving only three helices packed together. The B factors become high approaching the 80s loop in all three crystal forms as well as in the previously reported structures (Fig. 7). The 80s loop is completely defined in only one (ortho-1; Fig. 3) of the several crystal structures of apoE or its variants (Wilson et al., 1991, 1994; Dong et al., 1994, 1996).

Conformational changes upon lipid binding

The separation of the molecule into two distinct regions with different stabilities is potentially important for understanding the function of apoE. Conformational changes are thought to be critically important for both the lipid-binding and receptor-binding function of apoE. Upon binding to DMPC, the helix bundle is thought to open, allowing the hydrophobic residues (which are packed in the core of the bundle in the aqueous state) to interact with the acyl chains of the phospholipid (Weisgraber, 1994). Molecular area measurements at an air-water interface (Weisgraber et al., 1992) and disulfide bond cross-linking studies of moth apoLpIII (Narayanaswami et al., 1996), an insect apolipoprotein with similar lipid binding behavior as apoE, suggest a model involving bundle opening. The model draws additional support from the fact that, upon binding behavior as apoE, suggest a model involving bundle opening also explains previously unresolved conformational changes upon lipid binding.

Comparison of ApoE-22K and apoLpIII, and assignment of hinge region

High experimental B values from the crystal structure apoLpIII from Locusta migratoria (Breiter et al., 1991) also indicate greater mobility at one end of the molecule (Fig. 7B). Cross-linking the mobile end of moth apoLpIII (Fig. 7C) with an engineered disulfide link abolishes lipid binding to a lipoprotein vesicle surface. The disulfide-linked mutant can still bind to DMPC discs, indicating different modes of interaction of apoLpIII with discs or spherical lipid vesicles (Narayanaswami et al., 1996). Structural studies on insect apolipoporphins (Breiter et al., 1991, Fig. 7B; Wang et al., 1997, Fig. 7C) implicate certain hydrophobic residues in initial lipid binding. In either case, the proposed open, lipid bound structure hinges at the loops distal to the flexible end (Breiter et al., 1991; Wang et al., 1997) of the molecule.

Based on the increased mobility we demonstrated for one part of apoE-22k, we propose a similar mechanism, in which the flexible end of apoE-22k initiates the opening of the helix bundle upon binding to a spherical, preformed lipoprotein particle. Assigning the flexible domain as initiation site for lipid binding and subsequent bundle opening also explains previously unresolved contradictory findings, in which a correspondence of apoE and apoLpIII was expected based on their superposition and presumed equivalence of the short nine-residue helix H1’ connecting helices H2 and H3 in apoE with the six-residue helix H3’ connecting H3 and H4 in moth apoLpIII, respectively (Narayanaswami et al., 1999). Closer inspection of such a superposition reveals that neither the direction of the chain tracing nor the crucial correspondence of the flexible regions of the molecules (Fig. 7A,B) is maintained. A
corresponding short connecting helix is also noticeably absent in the locust apoLpIII X-ray structure (Breiter et al., 1991). The two short, connecting helices in apoE and moth apoLpIII do not appear to be functionally equivalent. In moth apoLpIII, this helix is in the flexible region of the molecule and it plays a role in lipid binding. In apoE, however, the connecting helix is at the stable end of the molecule and not likely to be involved in the initial steps of lipid binding.

More speculative support for our choice of the 80s loop region in ApoE as a site for initial lipid interaction is based on possible electrostatic interactions between the flexible end of the molecules and the lipid particles. Phospholipid particles in solution do not present the hydrophobic acyl chains but the polar head groups to the solvent. The largest negative potential in apoE in fact occurs at the 80s loop, where five glutamic acid residues occur in a stretch of 12 residues in ApoE (Fig. 3). A similar grouping of negative glutamic acid residues exists in the corresponding region of locust ApoLpIII, and on the H3 helix of moth apoLpIII. The negative charges could complement the positive charge on phosphatidylcholine head groups in lipid vesicles. Thus, it is conceivable that the negative charges and the resulting (long-range) electrostatic interaction on the flexible 80s loop in apoE initiate the binding to lipid vesicles and trigger the opening of the helix bundle, with hydrophobic (short range) interactions, playing a role in the subsequent association of the opening helices with the lipid particles.

Summary and conclusions

The structure of an amino-terminal fragment of apoE3 has been determined from three crystal forms. The structures were determined de novo for two of the crystal forms and by MR for the third form. A number of new techniques, previously not applied to structural studies of apoE, have yielded experimental maps of superior quality and high-quality model structures. Examination of packing arrangements within the three crystal forms reveal a common antiparallel packing of helix bundles along the principal axes, creating a propagating antiparallel sheet of staggered molecules. The packing is governed predominantly by nonspecific electrostatic interaction. Comparison of the three models from each of the three crystal forms reveals a large degree of conformational flexibility at one end of the molecule and kinks or hinges in helices H2 and H3. These kinks, along with the high experimental B values and the electronegative character of the 80s loop end of the molecule, suggest that the flexible end of the apoE molecule is the initial site for lipid binding and initiates the opening of the four-helix bundle as the conformation of apoE is reorganized on a lipid surface.

Fig. 4. Packing arrangements in three crystal forms. Projection of the crystal’s unit cell for the (A) ortho-1, (B) trigonal, and (C) ortho-2 crystal forms. The asymmetric unit is represented both as a ribbon diagram of the four-helix bundle and as an arrow defining the orientation of the molecule. For clarity, most symmetry-related copies of the molecule were removed; those remaining are represented by arrows only. Each arrow is centered on the principal axis of a four-helix bundle and points toward the 80s loop. All three crystal forms show a common, antiparallel packing of the helix bundles. The packing in the trigonal form is exactly antiparallel, while both orthorhombic forms show a slight tilt of the bundle axis relative to the nearest neighbor. The packing pattern propagates as sheets through the crystal. The figure was generated with MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991), SPOCK (Christopher, 1997), and RASTER3D (Merritt & Bacon, 1997).
Materials and methods

Electrostatics of packing. Fig. 5. Electrostatics of packing. A: The antiparallel packing arrangement of three symmetry-related four-helix bundles in the ortho-1 crystal form is shown. The bundles are represented as a ribbon diagram and are shown superimposed with semitranslucent isocontours of the electrostatic potential. Red surface represents negative potential (contoured at -3 mV) and blue surface represents positive potential (contoured at 4 mV). For illustrative purposes, the molecules are displayed somewhat apart from their actual packing arrangement. It is apparent that in this arrangement favorable electrostatic interactions take place such that positive and negative electrostatic potentials are juxtaposed. This figure was generated with MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991), SPOCK (Christopher, 1997), and RASTER3D (Merritt & Bacon, 1997). B: Relative orientation of packed molecules in the three crystal forms, superimposed on one molecule of the pseudodimer. Perspective is down the principal axis of the four-helix bundle. The model from the ortho-1 form is shown in red, the trigonal form in magenta, and the ortho-2 form in yellow. While maintaining the commonality of the antiparallel arrangement, packing is not identical in any two crystal forms. The pseudodimers of the ortho-2 and ortho-1 forms differ by a small translational shift, while the pseudodimer of the trigonal crystal form is related to that of ortho-1 by an additional, nearly 90° rotation. This figure was generated with MIDAS (Ferrin et al., 1988). C: Schematic of the interactions of the electrostatic lobes in different packing arrangements. The arrow represents the principal axis of the helix bundle, the blue oval represents the positive lobe of electrostatic potential, and the red oval represents the negative lobe of electrostatic potential. The upper sections of the sketch represent the packing plane, the lower sections represent a cross-section thereof (left panel, ortho forms; right panel, trigonal form). In both packing arrangements, positive and negative electrostatic potential are juxtaposed.

These results provide a model for understanding the dynamic behavior of apoE and new insights into how apoE interacts with lipid and how the protein may unfold.

Purification and expression

The apoE3 1–165 fragment cDNA was prepared from pTV194 (Vogel et al., 1985) by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mutagenesis and inserted into a T7 bacterial expression system (Studier & Moffatt, 1986). This cDNA actually encodes 166 residues: residues 1–165 of apoE plus the initiating methionine residue at the amino terminus. Non-Se-Met protein was expressed as previously described (Dong et al., 1994). For Se-Met labeling, the pET165 plasmid was transformed into the methionine auxotroph Escherichia coli strain B834(DE). Single colonies were selected and grown in LB medium to log phase. Cell stocks in 20% glycerol were stored at -80°C. Cells taken from the stock were grown overnight on agar plates containing LB and 100 mg/mL ampicillin. Starter cultures were prepared from several colonies and grown in 5 mL of LB with ampicillin for 1 h. Starter culture (1 mL) was added to 500 mL of methionine-free LeMaster medium (LeMaster & Richards, 1985) supplemented with 250 µL of Kao & Mychayluk vitamin solution (Scapin et al., 1993) and 25 mg of Se-Met. Cultures were grown at 37°C to optical density (at 600 nm) of 0.6 (12 h), induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-thio-β-d-galactoside, and harvested after 3 h. Incorporation of Se-Met into the five methio- nines positions (~1, 64, 68, 108, and 125) was confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry. The molecular mass increase from 19,402 to 19,639 mass units agrees well with the expected increase resulting from complete Se-Met substitution (calculated, 235; actual 237). The lack of detectable peaks at lower masses indicated that the incorporation was complete at all five sites.

Cell pellets were suspended in 200 volumes of cold sonication buffer [150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, 25 mM ethylendiaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1% aprotinin (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri)], 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Sigma) and lysed as previously described (Dong et al., 1994). Lysate fractions were eluted from a Sephacryl S-300 size-exclusion column (300 × 2.5 cm) with 20 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.4, 4 M guanidine-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol). The apoE 165 fragment was further purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Gibson, Middleton, Wisconsin) on a 21.5 × 150 mm DEAE column (Supelec, Bellefonte, Pennsylvania), with a linear salt gradient (Dong & Weisgraber, 1996). The purified protein was dialyzed against 20 mM NH4HCO3 and concentrated to 5 mg/mL protein stock solution with a Microcon concentrator (Amicon, Beverly, Massachusetts).

Crystallization

The amino-terminal 165 fragment of apoE crystallizes in three forms. After 2–3 days at room temperature, thick rod-shaped crystals are obtained in drops equilibrated against well buffer containing 20–25% polyethylene glycol (PEG)-400 (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) and 50 mM Na-cacodylate, pH 5.6. They belong to the orthorhombic space group P212121 with unit cell dimensions of a = 47.74 Å, b = 55.6 Å, and c = 63.61 Å (ortho-2 form). These crystals can only be obtained from fresh protein stock. Crystals may be obtained from older stock by addition of 1% β-mercaptoethanol to both protein stock and crystallization-well solutions. The resulting crystals have similar morphology to those grown from fresh stock and have the same space group (P212121) but with cell dimensions of a = 40.8 Å, b = 53.2 Å, and c = 84.8 Å (ortho-1 form). Crystals of a third form (trigonal P3121 with cell dimensions of a and b = 47.54 Å and c = 104.4 Å) have also been obtained. These crystals grow from slightly lower concentrations of PEG-400 (15–20%) than the ortho-1 form and frequently appear in drops where numerous small orthorhombic crystals had formed.
but then disappeared. Crystals of all three forms develop in hanging-drop vapor diffusion experiments (McPherson, 1982) (drops contained 3–5 μL well solution and 3–5 μL protein stock). The Se-Met substituted apoE3 165 fragment was purified by DEAE-HPLC (see Materials and methods), dialyzed against 5 mM NH₄HCO₃, lyophilized, and dissolved in 20 mM NH₄HCO₃. Crystals of ortho-1 and trigonal crystal forms were grown from 5 mg/mL stock in conditions similar to those for native crystals (Table 1).

Data collection, phasing, and refinement

For each of the three crystal forms, native X-ray data were collected with an ADSC multiwire system equipped with two Xuong/Hamlin detectors (Hamlin, 1985), and data were reduced with UCSD data reduction software (Howard et al., 1985). All efforts to arrive at an initial phase set for the trigonal data set by MR [models 1NFA (Dong et al., 1994); 1LPE (Wilson et al., 1991); and ortho-1 form, below] have been unsuccessful [highest correlation with ortho-1 model of 0.19 with R = 60% using EPMR (Kissinger et al., 1999)].

De novo phasing experiments for both the ortho-1 and trigonal crystal forms were performed by using Se-Met MAD (Table 2). Complete, four-wavelength MAD experiments were carried out for the ortho-1 and trigonal crystal forms. Ortho-1 data were collected at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory beamline 1–5 from cryo-cooled crystals using a Fuji image plate detector, integrated with DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and scaled with SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). MAD data of the ortho-1 form were collected at two remote wavelengths (several hundred electron volts below and above the absorption edge), at the peak of the absorption edge (maximizing f’), and at the maximum of f” as determined by the Kramers–Kronig relation. Ortho-1 crystals diffracted strongly to beyond 2.5 Å, but beamline geometry did not allow for data collection to higher resolution. Data for the trigonal form, to the diffraction limit of 2.0 Å and with good completeness, were collected from cryo-cooled trigonal crystals on Advanced Light Source (ALS) beamline 5.0.2, which is equipped with an Oxford cryostream and a Quantum4 ADSC CCD detector. Reflections were integrated with MOSFLM (CCP4, 1994) and

Fig. 6. Superposition of model structures from three crystal forms. A: Stereoview of model structures from each of the three crystal forms, superimposed. Superposition is on all Cα atoms of helical residues in all three models (ortho-1, blue; trigonal, red; ortho-2, yellow). While the ortho-2 and the trigonal models overlap quite well, the ortho-1 model differs significantly. This explains why the trigonal form was a much better MR probe than the ortho-1 form for the determination of the ortho-2 structure. Differences are most significant at the end of the molecule containing the 80s loop. B: Stereoview of superposition of the trigonal and ortho-1 models, with the principal axes of the helices shown as rods. The ortho-1 model is blue and the trigonal model is red. The principal axes of the helices are shown in cyan (ortho-1) or magenta (trigonal). The principal axis rods of helices H2, H3, and H4 are split in to two parts, with the split occurring near the amino-terminal end of helix 1. Superposition is on Cα in section distal to the 80s loop only. This representation emphasizes actual differences in proximity of the 80s loop and demonstrates the similarity of the models in parts distal to the 80s loop. C: Close up view of the superposition described in B, proximal to the 80s loop. The large deviation between the ends of helices H2 and H3 is apparent. A large deviation is likely to continue into the 80s loop as well but this part of the molecule is not seen in the trigonal structure.
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Fig. 7. Apolipoprotein E and apolipoporin III. C, trace of the (A) apoE 165 fragment (ortho-1 form), (B) locust apolpIII (Breiter et al., 1991), and (C) moth apolpIII (Wang et al., 1997). Blue indicates low and yellow high B values. B values are a measure of the mean displacement of an atom, and high values indicate areas of high mobility. (C) For the NMR structure, the isotropic B-factor equivalents have been calculated from the mean-square coordinate differences between the models \( B_{iso} = 8\pi^2/\sum (r_i^2 + r_j^2 + r_k^2)/3 \). The NMR model coordinates have been kindly provided by J. Wang, B.D. Sykes and R.O. Ryan (unpubl. data). In the case of apoE, additional support for the flexibility of the 80s loop (L2-3, A) originates from the different conformations this part of the molecule assumes in different crystal forms (Fig. 6B). The figure clearly shows the similarity of the flexibility in the regions presumed to initiate the opening of the helix bundle both molecules (top of the models). The connection between distinct helices H3 and H3’ in moth apolpIII (C) corresponds to a region of high mobility in locust apolpIII helix H3 (B). This figure was generated with MIDAS (Ferrin et al., 1988).

Se positions were initially determined and phase calculation was performed with SOLVE (Terwilliger & Berendzen, 1999) on the four-wavelength MAD data sets. Native data (Table 1) were included in the phasing experiment, and phases were recalculated with SHARP (de La Fortelle & Brionic, 1997). Phase calculations gave the best overall figure of merit if atom positions determined by SOLVE were fixed during SHARP refinement. Judging from phasing power and figure of merit, the phase quality for both ortho-1 and trigonal crystal forms is exceptional to \( -2.5 \) Å. Atomic models for the ortho-1 and trigonal structures were manually traced with XtalView (McCooe, 1992) into solvent-flattened maps generated by DM (Cowtan & Main, 1996). Density modification was repeated with SOLVOM solvent flipping (Abrahams & Leslie, 1996), yielding superior maps (Fig. 2A). Models were iteratively built into maps generated by a slightly modified wARP procedure (Perrakis et al., 1997) (Fig. 2B). Initial phase estimates were determined for the ortho-2 data set by MR with EPMR using the trigonal model (correlation coefficient 0.67, R-value 42%). Since wARP is not applicable given the data/parameter ratio at the resolution of the ortho-2 data, this model was iteratively built into maximum-likelihood (CCP4, 1994; Murshudov et al., 1997) FWT/PHWT, DELFWT/DELPHT, or shake-omit maps (Zeng et al., 1997). Shake-omit maps were generated by perturbing atomic coordinates by \( \pm 0.5 \) Å (typical RMSD after shaking was \( -0.25 \) Å) to reduce the phase bias before the Fourier transform, removing 10% of the model, then applying rigid body refinement in REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997) to generate the maximum-likelihood structure factors. After several cycles of rebuilding, water molecules were added either by ARP (Lamzin & Wilson, 1993) or by manual placement in XtalView (McCooe, 1992). Each model structure was refined with REFMAC (CCP4, 1994) and/or X-PLOR 3.851. Final coordinates are from X-PLOR refinement with solvent correction and overall anisotropic B correction applied. Despite the use of a maximum-likelihood target function in REFMAC (absent in X-PLOR 3.851), the final R and \( R_{free} \) were obtained by initial refinement with REFMAC, followed by X-PLOR solvent correction, overall anisotropic B correction, and final individual B refinement. Careful pruning of water molecules based on real space fit correlation significantly affected the \( R_{free} \) ratio.
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