Channel-forming solvate crystals and isostructural solvent-free powder of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone
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Crystals of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone, (I), grown from a variety of solvents, are invariably trigonal (space group $R\overline{3}$); these are 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone acetone 0.1667-solvate, $C_6H_7NO_2\cdot 0.1667C_2H_5O$, (Ia), and 6-methyl-5-hydroxy-2-pyridone propan-2-ol 0.1667-solvate, $C_6H_7NO_2\cdot 0.1667C_2H_5O$, (Ib), and the forms from methanol, (Ic), water, (Id), and benzonitrile, (Ie). They incorporate channels running the length of the c axis that contain extensively disordered solvent molecules. A solvent-free sublimed powder of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone microcrystals is essentially isostructural. Inversion-related host molecules interact via pairs of N–H···O hydrogen bonds to form $R_4^0(8)$ dimers. Six of these dimers form large $R_{12}^0(42)$ puckered rings, in which the O atom of each N–H···O hydrogen bond is also the acceptor in an O–H···O hydrogen bond that involves the 5-hydroxy group. The large $R_{12}^0(42)$ rings straddle the $\bar{3}$ axes and form stacked columns via $\pi$–$\pi$ interactions between inversion-related molecules of (I) [mean interplanar spacing = 3.254 Å and ring centroid–centroid distance = 3.688 (2) Å]. The channels are lined by methyl groups, which all point inwards to the centre of the channels.

Comment

$^1$H NMR data obtained from crystals of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone, (I) (Behrman, 2008, 2009), grown from acetone or propan-2-ol, exhibit unusual, and sometimes variable, ratios of pyridone to solvent. A similar observation for the 6-chloro analogue was sensitive to the molecular environment, such that solvate crystals were exclusively the hydroxy tautomer while solvent-free crystals were the pyridone. Studies of related 6-substituted 2-pyridones over many years (summarized by Nichol & Clegg, 2005) show that electron-donating substituents at the 6-position drive the tautomeric equilibrium towards the pyridone, while electron-withdrawing groups favour the hydroxy form. In the present work, we found no evidence of the corresponding 6-methyl-2,5-dihydroxy-pyridine, (II).

Current work on intrinsic and extrinsic porous organic molecules has been the subject of several recent reviews, most notably by Cooper and coworkers (Holst et al., 2010; Cooper, 2011; Mastalerz et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011). Given the general interest in hydrogen-bonded assemblies (e.g. Sisson et al., 2005; Glidewell et al., 2005; Hao et al., 2005) and keto/enol tautomerism in 6-pyridones (Almlof et al., 1971; Kvick, 1976; Johnson, 1984), we undertook a study of (I) crystallized from a series of solvents. We report here the structures of crystals grown from acetone, (Ia), propan-2-ol, (Ib), methanol, (Ic), water, (Id), and benzonitrile, (Ie). A probable structure grown from benzyl alcohol, (Ig), and a solvent-free crystalline powder obtained by sublimation, (Ig), are also discussed. Crystallographic data are only presented here for structures (Ia) and (Ib); data for structures (Ic1), (Ic2), (Ic3), (Id) and (Ie) are available in the Supplementary materials.

In contrast with the 6-chloro analogue, which formed tetragonal crystals (space group $I4_1/a$) with four channels per unit cell, all crystals of (I) are trigonal, space group $R\overline{3}$, and have three channels per unit cell. The host-molecule frameworks in (Ia)–(Ig), and likely also in (Ig), are isostructural. Given the similarities, the following section concentrates on the structure of (Ia). Unless otherwise noted, the general features (aside from the nature of the solvent) also apply to (Ib)–(Ie), and probably also to (Ig) and (Ig).

Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of the acetone solvate, (Ia), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The acetone guest molecule, which was modelled with isotropic displacement parameters, sits on a site of $3$ symmetry and is extensively disordered. The structures of the propan-2-ol, methanol, water and benzonitrile solvates, (Ib)–(Ie), are essentially the same, but the guest solvent molecule in each of (Ic)–(Ie) was too badly disordered to model.
The molecular structure of (Ia) (Fig. 1) is largely unremarkable. Bond lengths and angles are all within normal ranges and the molecules are flat [the r.m.s. deviation from planarity is 0.0140 (16) Å for non-H atoms]. The 2-hydroxy H atom is oriented away from the 6-methyl group, but is twisted out of the plane of the ring by ca 43° so as to participate in an O—H···O hydrogen bond involving the 5-hydroxy group as donor. The 6-Me groups all point towards the centres of the large rings. The resulting large $R_{6}^{12}(42)$ rings straddle the $3$ axes and stack to form solvent-accessible channels parallel to the $c$ axis.

The hydrogen bonding in (Ia), showing (a) the molecules forming $R_{2}^{2}(8)$ inversion-related dimers via pairs of N—H···O hydrogen bonds, and (b) six sets of these hydrogen-bonded dimers forming puckered rings, in which the O atom of each N—H···O interaction is also the acceptor in an O—H···O hydrogen bond involving the 5-hydroxy group as donor. The 6-Me groups all point towards the centres of the large rings. The resulting large $R_{6}^{12}(42)$ rings straddle the $3$ axes and stack to form solvent-accessible channels parallel to the $c$ axis.

Figure 2

A packing diagram for (Ia), viewed along $c$, showing the presence of disordered guest solvent molecules within the channels parallel to $c$. Crystals of (Ib)–(Ie) exhibit essentially the same packing.

Figure 3

A packing diagram for (Ia), viewed along $c$, showing the presence of disordered guest solvent molecules within the channels parallel to $c$. Crystals of (Ib)–(Ie) exhibit essentially the same packing.

H2···O1 hydrogen bond. The resulting large $R_{6}^{12}(42)$ rings surround the $3$ axes and stack into columns via π-π interactions between inversion-related molecules of (I) [mean π-π spacing = 3.254 (2) Å and ring centroid-centroid distance = 3.688 (2) Å]. The channels are lined with 6-methyl groups which point towards the centre of their respective $R_{6}^{12}(42)$ rings. Appropriately sized solvent molecules can occupy the channels, but on average they are severely disordered as a result of the $3$ site symmetry within the channels (Fig. 3). In spite of the inherent disorder, it was possible to model the acetone and propan-2-ol molecules in (Ia) and (Ib), albeit with extensive use of restraints (see Refinement). These models had (in total) one solvent molecule per channel per unit cell. The occupancies of the solvent models were allowed to refine, but in both (Ia) and (Ib), the summed site occupancy of all disorder components was so close to unity that the solvent in the final cycles of refinement was fixed at full occupancy.

Although reasonably sized crystals could be grown from a variety of solvents, satisfactory disorder models for smaller solvents such as methanol in (Ic) and water in (Id) were not readily constructed. Crystals from methanol or water do appear to hold solvent less tightly, so that the solvent content varies depending on the method of drying (i.e. in air, in vacuo or at 373 K) from ca 2.5 molecules per channel per unit cell to less than one. A room-temperature determination of the methanol-containing structure, (Ic2), revealed no substantive differences from the low-temperature model, (Ic1). An attempt to drive the methanol guest molecules from the channels in (Ic) by annealing at 381 K for ca 30 min was also performed. In the resulting structure, (Ic3), the channels remained intact, though they appear to be partially depopulated. The electron count within the channels, as calculated by the SQUEEZE routine in PLATON (Spek, 2009), was reduced from 46 e in (Ic1) to 24 e in (Ic3), but it is worth mentioning that the electron count for the room-temperature structure, (Ic2), was only 10 e. This variability is consistent with peak integrations of the $1^1$H NMR spectra for different
samples of (Ic). We surmise that some small solvent species are able to vacate the channels to a degree that depends on crystal handling, treatment and environment. Crystallization from benzonitrile produced a similar structure, (Ie), but with shorter and broader channels (as evidenced by the cell dimensions), presumably to accommodate this larger molecule. Crystals grown from benzyl alcohol, (If), were too small for full data collection, but indexing of a miniscule crystal of (If) (ca. $0.1 \times 0.005 \times 0.005$ mm) revealed a trigonal unit cell similar to the other crystals.

All attempts to grow solvent-free crystals large enough for single-crystal work by sublimation up to ca. 423 K were unsuccessful and resulted in a fine white powder, (Ig). Diffraction of Cu Kα X-rays by this sublimed powder was too weak to measure on conventional sealed-tube powder X-ray diffractometers. However, it was possible to collect two-dimensional diffraction images on a rotating-anode-based Bruker X8 Proteum single-crystal diffractometer equipped with graded multilayer optics. Diffraction patterns from freshly sublimed (Ig) and from (Ig) that had aged undisturbed for about six months were essentially identical (see Fig. 4). Diffraction patterns from sublimed (Ig), at room temperature. Radial integration from two-dimensional diffraction images (see Supplementary materials). These two-dimensional images were intended merely to guide the eye.

Figure 4
Qualitative comparison of powder diffraction patterns of (I). (a) Experimental pattern from sublimed powder, (Ig), at room temperature. (b) Simulated pattern, based on the room-temperature single-crystal structure, (Ic2). The thin vertical lines are intended merely to guide the eye.

Experimental
The synthesis of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone has been described in several publications (Behrman, 2008; Loth & Hempel, 1972). Crystals of (Ia) suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were prepared by dissolving (I) (100 mg) in hot ethanol (6 ml) and then slowly adding acetone (10 ml). The other solvates were prepared as follows (mass of 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-pyridone, volume of solvent): (Ib) 50 mg, 5 ml propan-2-ol; (Ic) 50 mg, 3 ml methanol; (Id) 50 mg, 2 ml water; (Ie) 50 mg, 3.5 ml benzonitrile; (If) 20 mg, 0.5 ml benzyl alcohol. Crystals from benzonitrile and benzyl alcohol were washed with diethyl ether. Sublimation was carried out at about 0.5 mm Hg and at a temperature gradually rising from room temperature to about 423 K. $^1$H NMR spectra were measured in DMSO-$d_6$ at 600 MHz. They gave host–solvant ratios consistent with disordered model refinement for the acetone and propan-2-ol solvates, or from a count of the number of electrons present within the channels using SQUEEZE in PLATON (Spek, 2009). Data for the IR, UV and NMR spectra are given by Behrman (2008) and Loth & Hempel (1972).

Compound (Ia), acetone solvate

Crystal data

\[ \text{C}_9\text{H}_7\text{NO}_2 \cdot 0.1667\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O} \]

\[ M_r = 134.81 \]

Trigonal, $R3$

\[ a = 24.7962 (3) \ \text{Å} \]

\[ \epsilon = 5.2924 (1) \ \text{Å} \]

\[ V = 2818.08 (7) \ \text{Å}^3 \]

Z = 18

Cu Kα radiation

\[ \mu = 0.91 \ \text{mm}^{-1} \]

\[ T = 90 \ \text{K} \]

\[ 0.12 \times 0.01 \times 0.01 \ \text{mm} \]

Data collection

Bruker X8 Proteum diffractometer

854 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

14372 measured reflections

1153 independent reflections

Refinement

\[ R(F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)) = 0.044 \]

\[ wR(F^2) = 0.130 \]

$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17 \ \text{e Å}^{-3} \]

$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.39 \ \text{e Å}^{-3} \]

6 restraints

H-atom parameters constrained

1153 reflections

101 parameters

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (Ia).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1—C2</td>
<td>1.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1—C6</td>
<td>1.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—O1</td>
<td>1.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C3</td>
<td>1.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C4</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (Ia).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H—D—A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>H—A</th>
<th>D—A</th>
<th>D—H—A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1—H1···O1i</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.781</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2—H2···O1a</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.634</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (i) −x + 1, −y + 1, −z; (ii) −y + 1/2, x − y + 1/2, z + 1/2.

Table 3
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (Ib).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1—C2</td>
<td>1.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1—C6</td>
<td>1.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—O1</td>
<td>1.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2—C3</td>
<td>1.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—C4</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (Ib).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H—D—A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>H—A</th>
<th>D—A</th>
<th>D—H—A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1—H1···O1i</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.782</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2—H2···O1a</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.638</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (i) −x + 1, −y + 1, −z; (ii) −y + 1/2, x − y + 1/2, z + 1/2.

Compound (Ib), propan-2-ol solvate

Crystal data

C6H7NO2·0.1667C3H8O, C6H7NO2

Mw = 135.14

Trigonal, R3

a = 24.9208 (5) Å

V = 2836.47 (10) Å³

Data collection

Bruker X8 Proteum diffractometer

908 reflections with I > 2σ(I)

14474 measured reflections

1163 independent reflections

Refinement

R[F² > 2σ(F²)] = 0.047

11 restraints

H-atom parameters constrained

1163 reflections

101 parameters

H atoms of the molecules of (I) in each of the structures were found in difference Fourier maps and subsequently placed at idealized positions. For the low-temperature structures, riding models with constrained distances of 0.95 (Cα-H), 0.98 (RCH3), 0.88 (NH) and 0.84 Å (OH) were used. For the room-temperature structure, (Ic2), the distances were 0.93 (Cα-H), 0.96 (RCH3), 0.86 (NH) and 0.82 Å (OH). In (Ia) and (Ib), owing to extensive disorder, the H atoms of the solvent molecules were not found in difference maps. They were placed using geometric criteria and refined using riding models, with constrained distances of 0.98 (RCH3), 0.99 (R2CH2) and 0.84 Å (OH). Values for Ueq(H) were set at 1.2Ueq(parent atom), or at 1.5Ueq(parent atom) for OH and CH3. We considered including the structures processed using SQUEEZE (PLATON; Spek, 2009), but there seemed little point as they would have added no additional insight.

In (Ia) and (Ib), the solvent molecules are disordered on sites of 3 point symmetry and required restraints to maintain chemically sensible geometry and physically reasonable displacement parameters. The SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008) commands DFIX, SAME, FLAT and SIMU were used to restrain interatomic distances, maintain geometric similarity and flatness, and keep the displacement parameters of closely proximate atoms similar. In both (Ia) and (Ib), several different disorder models were tried. The best model in each case was chosen by visual inspection and by careful analysis of refinement statistics, including an R tensor (Parkin, 2000), which quantifies the spatial quality of a crystallographic refinement. In (Ic1), (Ic2), (Ic3), (Id) and (Ie), the solvent molecules were also disordered on sites of 3 point symmetry, but suitable disorder models were not constructed.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2006) for (Ia), (Ib), (Id) and (Ie); COLLECTION (Nonius, 1998) for (Ic1), (Ic2) and (Ic3). Cell refinement: APEX2 for (Ia), (Ib), (Id) and (Ie); SCALEPACK (Otwinski & Minor, 1997) for (Ic1), (Ic2) and (Ic3). Data reduction: APEX2 for (Ia), (Ib), (Id) and (Ie); DENZO-SMN (Otwinski & Minor, 1997) for (Ic1), (Ic2) and (Ic3). For all compounds, program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and local procedures.
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